## APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I:	BACKGROUND	INFORMATION
------------	------------	-------------

	CTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION  DEPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED HUDISDICTION ALD DETERMINATION (ID)	
A.	REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD):	
B.	ST. LOUIS DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:	
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:  State: County/parish/borough: City: Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. °, Long. ° Universal Transverse Mercator: Name of nearest waterbody: Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.	
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  Office (Desk) Determination. Date:  Field Determination. Date(s):	
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.	
The	ere are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review a.	
B.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.	
The	ere are no"waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.	
	1. Waters of the U.S.: N/A	
	2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):  Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:	
SEC A.	CTION III: CWA ANALYSIS TNWS AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWS: N/A	
B.	$\textbf{CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): } \ N/A$	
C.	SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION: N/A	
D.	DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): $\rmN/A$	
E.	ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A	
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  ☐ If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.  ☐ Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.  ☐ Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).  ☐ Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:  ☐ Other (explain, if not covered above):	
	United (explains, it not covered above).	


Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional


judgment (check all that apply):

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.


	Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: acres.
	wide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such ading is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .  Wetlands: acres.
A. SUP	PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked requested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  USGS NHD data.  USGS NHD data.  USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation:  National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:  State/Local wetland inventory map(s):  FEMA/FIRM maps:  100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)  Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date):  or Other (Name & Date):  Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:  Applicable/supporting case law:  Applicable/supporting scientific literature:

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:









## Legend



AJD BOUNDARY



PEM WETLAND (STOCK POND)



UPLAND DRAINAGE/EROSIONAL SWALE



Exhibit #1 of 1 MVS-2023-231

St. Louis District

RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT 2424 EATHERTON RD WILDWOOD, MISSOURI



150 ☐ Feet

Map Scale: 1 inch equals 75 feet

DATE MAP CREATED: APRIL 21, 2023 DRAWN BY: USACE-MVS-REGULATORY

Service Layer Credits: World\_Imagery: STL Imagery Consortium, Maxar, Microsoft