

116722



## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION VII  
726 MINNESOTA AVENUE  
KANSAS CITY, KANSAS 66101

SLDS  
Administrative  
Record  
9808251030

MAY 11 1994

OFFICE OF  
THE REGIONAL ADMINISTRATOR

Mr. Thomas P. Grumbly  
Assistant Secretary for  
Environmental Management  
U.S. Department of Energy  
1000 Independence Avenue, SW  
Washington, D.C. 20585

Post-It™ brand fax transmittal memo 7871

# of pages &gt; 2

To <i>Dave Adler</i>	From <i>Dan Wall</i>
Co.	Co.
Dept.	Phone # <i>(913) 551-7710</i>
Fax # <i>(615) 576-0956</i>	Fax #

Dear Mr. Grumbly:

This is in response to your recent letter requesting our assistance in reevaluating response actions at the radioactively contaminated sites in the St. Louis area, part of the Department of Energy's (DOE) Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP).

More specifically, DOE requests withdrawal of the draft proposed plan for remediation of the St. Louis sites in order to examine all possible remedies and undertake efforts to gain greater stakeholder buy-in on the remedy selection process. In addition, DOE requests a delay in the remedy selection process schedule as defined by the Federal Facility Agreement (FFA).

We agree that there are compelling reasons to reevaluate the remediation strategy for the St. Louis sites, and concur with DOE's request to withdraw the draft proposed plan. We believe that the general strategy suggested in Tim Fields' letter of March 28, 1994, outlines the best approach for advancing the remedy selection process.

In order that we may properly consider an extension of the remedy selection schedule, a proposal should be submitted pursuant to Section XXI of the FFA, i.e., a proposal containing a revised schedule specifying the timetable or deadline that is sought to be extended, the length of the extension sought, and the good cause(s) for the extension. This should include enough detail of the elements of the schedule to allow examination of the process that must be satisfied. Given that the draft feasibility study and proposed plan are withdrawn, resubmission dates for these documents should also be proposed.

116722

2

We look forward to working closely with DOE in its efforts to develop a viable cleanup strategy for the St. Louis sites.

Sincerely,

*Martha R. Steincamp*

Dennis Grams, P.E.  
Regional Administrator

*for*

cc: David Shorr, Director, MDNR

---

# Administrative Record for the Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP) – St. Louis Downtown Site (SLDS)

St. Louis, Missouri

---

*Volume 4*

*October 1998*



**US Army Corps  
of Engineers**  
St. Louis District<sup>30</sup>

SLDOWN\_000372