SUMMARY NOTES

St. Louis Site Remediation Task Force
Special Meeting

Remediation Options Working Group

June 25, 1996

Hazelwood Civic Center East Hazelwood, Missouri



Participants Attending

Dave Adler, DOE
Barbara Cooper, U.S. Rep. Talent's Office
Kay Drey
Jack Frauenhoffer, Mallinckrodt
Anna Ginsburg, City of St. Louis
Jim Grant, Mallinckrodt
Lou Jearls, City of Florissant
Conovan Larson, St. Louis Co. Water Co.
Bob Marchant, Metropolitan St. Louis
Sewer District
Sally Price, Chair

Roger Pryor, Mo. Coalition for the Environment Conn Roden, St. Louis Co. Health Dept. Mitchell Scherzinger, MDNR Elsa Steward, MDNR Jan Titus, Lambert Airport Dan Wall, EPA

Support

James Dwyer, Facilitator Noel Dwyer

Purpose of Meeting

This special meeting was held for the purpose of hearing presentations by Task Force participants who are affected property owners or otherwise interested parties, including regulatory agencies, regarding their concerns, perspectives and ultimate cleanup objectives for each component of the St. Louis Site.

SLAPS/Ballfields

Anna Ginsburg spoke on behalf of the City of St. Louis and the St. Louis Airport Authority and stated that the city's preferred objective is to achieve complete remediation of SLAPS to greenfield standards, including the ballfields, by removal of contaminated material for remote disposal, resulting in availability of all affected property for unrestricted use. In addition, Ms. Ginsburg stated that the St. Louis population is sufficiently large to warrant this level of cleanup.

SLDS/Riverfront Trail/SLDS Vicinity Properties

Jack Frauenhoffer spoke on behalf of Mallinckrodt and proposed the following cleanup standards:

SLDS -- Industrial Use Standards (Option III)
Riverfront Trail -- Recreational Use Standards (Option III)
Downtown Vicinity Properties -- Option IV

EPA Perspective

Dan Wall then presented an outline of the process and standards employed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency in evaluating any proposed remediation plan. He explained that EPA's primary objective is protection of human health and the environment, and that remediation proposals must satisfy applicable criteria in that regard. Additionally, remediation plans are subject to review against CERCLA requirements, which include concerns for cost-effective remedies. He cautioned that EPA must consider risk in evaluating proposed remediation plans and that he cannot advocate any plan that does not reconcile all of the aforementioned concerns.

MDNR Perspective

Elsa Steward then spoke for MDNR and recited the following objectives:

- 1. Groundwater must be remediated and measures taken to ensure that there is no further deterioration of groundwater quality. Interim actions to remove contaminants from groundwater may be acceptable as temporary measures.
- 2. Owners of contaminated property should not have to be responsible for cleanup costs or for negative economic impact resulting from contamination.
- 3. Overall objective is to protect human health and the environment.
- 4. Exhumation of contaminated material and off-site disposal is the preferred method of remediation.
- 5. On-site storage in a properly engineered (RCRA Subtitle C standards) and monitored cell is a possibility, but is not preferred.

Documentation of Other Public Meetings

00-2035

m-2035

Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP)

ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD

for the St. Louis Site, Missouri



Property
of
ST LOUIS FUSRAP LIBRARY

U.S. Department of Energy