MINUTES

St. Louis Site Remediation Task Force Local Priorities Working Group

July 26, 1995 Meeting

Berkeley City Hall Berkeley, Missouri

Participants Attending

Lori Batton, City of Berkeley
Dave Braun, Union Electric
Jack Frauenhoffer, Mallinckrodt
Chemical Co.
Josh Richardson, City of Berkeley
Jan Titus, Lambert Airport

Support

Jim Dwyer, Facilitator Dave Miller, FUSRAP Sarah Snyder, FUSRAP

Agenda Item

Minutes

Determination

Jim Dwyer called the meeting to order at 9:49 a.m.

Review Data

The Local Priorities Working Group members spent most of the meeting reviewing the latest version of the matrix. Changes suggested by members, which are to be incorporated in the final version distributed to Task Force members, included revising language, correcting data, and completing the information on some of the sites.

Jack Frauenhoffer advised that he won't be able to attend the August 8, 1995 meeting of the Task Force, but that Tom Binz has agreed to present the Local Priorities Working Group's recommendations to the Task Force. He suggested that any comments and detailed explanations be listed for Mr. Binz. For example, he suggested that the working group's definition of "medium" in the "Degree of Contamination" be explained.

The group decided that matters that need to

be defined include:

- Source of data
- Logic behind categories
- Cleanup cost/explain model (based on disposal at Envirocare at an estimated cost of \$1,100 per cubic yard)
- Cleanup volumes and the explanation that the statistics for the railroads include only that material within the railroad rights-of-way
- A notation that the matrix listing of the sites is not all-inclusive. Not all the properties are listed individually, although all the volumes are included

Mr. Frauenhoffer said the matrix should clearly explain the logic behind the working group's disposal categories, especially the assumption of disposing of low-level FUSRAP materials at an existing commercial landfill that currently handles materials exhibiting similar levels of radioactivity, e.g., flyash.

Jan Titus said she thought the term "consolidation" sounded too permanent and she suggested that the title of that disposal category be modified to "Interim Storage for Treatment/Final Disposal." The working group agreed to the change.

The group then discussed information that should be attached to the matrix and agreed on the following:

- The organization chart (summary sites), which also should be mentioned in the cover statement, and
- Information explaining the sum of ratios and depth data

In reviewing the matrix, the working group decided to separate the two piles at the Hazelwood Interim Storage Site because Pile 1 has a higher degree of contamination. The revised matrix will reflect this change.

Dave Miller said that the level of exposure for all the haul routes should include a

designation of 1 for normal use and 2 for maintenance workers. This change will be incorporated into the matrix.

Lori Batton said the haul routes also have a social value, and suggested that language similar to the social value section of Coldwater Creek be used for the haul routes. Mr. Miller agreed, noting that the community concerns and perceptions had not been fully captured in the matrix. Mr. Frauenhoffer said he would add appropriate language to the matrix.

Discussion of Next Steps Mr. Miller said the matrix doesn't recommend priorities, and suggested that the working group meet next week to rank their priorities. The members agreed to meet and to think about priorities prior to the next meeting.

Mr. Miller also reminded members that the U.S. Department of Energy needs a definitive recommendation from the Task Force on short-term priorities in order to be able to plan how to spend the \$30 million expected for fiscal year 1996 and fiscal year 1997.

Ms. Batton suggested that the matrix assign a "medium-low" designation for degree of contamination for the ballfields to distinguish them from the SLAPS. At present, the matrix ranks them both as "médium." She said she was concerned that the ballfields may be perceived to be as contaminated as SLAPS, which is not the case.

Mr. Frauenhoffer said the degree of contamination for the two sites were the same, according to the data. Mr. Dwyer said the matrix probably is not the vehicle for making those kinds of distinctions, adding that the discussion about priorities will be the time to evaluate those and other factors which may distinguish one site from another.

The next meeting of the Local Priorities Working Group is scheduled for August 2, 1995. The meeting adjourned at 12:44 p.m.

Approved August 2, 1995

Documentation of Other Public Meetings

Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP)

ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD

for the St. Louis Site, Missouri

