### St. Louis Site Remediation Task Force

# AGENDA

# Meeting of January 10, 1995 Hazelwood Civic Center

- 1. Welcome, Opening Comments, Announcements--Dr. Bryan
- 2. Minutes of the last meeting--corrections/approval
- 3. Public comment (10 minutes)
- 4. Response to David Adler's presentation of December 6, 1994
- 5. Introduction of Working Groups (progress reports if available)
- 6. Identify and prioritize criteria that will guide Task Force decision-making
- 7. Identify work that needs to be done between now and the next meeting
- 8. Prepare agenda for next meeting (February 14, 1995)

#### **MINUTES**

#### St. Louis Sites Remediation Task Force

# December 6, 1994

#### <u>Attendees</u>

Mayor David Farquharson Dr. Wayne Black Jim Dwyer Dan Tschirgi Eileen O'Connor Daniel Wall David Miller

Col. Leonard Griggs

Gerry Palau
Doug Mendoza
Steve Ackerman
Paul Kos
Martin Pion
Dan Stoltz

Jean Montgomery

Conn Roden Bob Boland

Jack Frauenhoffer

**Bob Geller** 

Thomas Horgan

Kay Drey

Cynthia Pavelka

Dr. Alpha Fowler Bryan

Jean Leadbetter Karen Acker George Eberle Dr. Lee Sobotka Anna Ginsburg Jalal El-Jayyousi

Lori Batton

Glenn Carlson Miranda Duncan Nancy Lubewski Mitch Scherzinger David Adler Donovan Larson Sally Price Patti Hazel

Patti Hazel Neal Slater Tom Binz Marty Buchh

Marty Buchheit Roger Pryor Joe Williams Dr. Barry Siegel

# Agenda Item/ Subject Discussed

# A/FI COME

WELCOME, ANNOUNCEMENTS Dr. Bryan opened the meeting and welcomed all in attendance. Dr. Bryan called for corrections or approval of the minutes of the previous meeting. Mayor Farquharson moved for approval, and Dr. Siegel seconded. There was no discussion and the minutes were approved as written. There were no announcements at this time.

Minutes

PUBLIC COMMENT

Ed Mahr, Jr. spoke first. One concern was about a study being done at the airport about potential earthquake hazards and his other concern was stormwater runoff and a rumor about construction of a reservoir. David Adler indicated he had no information concerning a reservoir, but would see what he could discover. Mr. Mahr explained his concern about liquifaction at the airport during any major earthquake, and the effect that could have on the airport. Col. Griggs said they are aware of the old lake bed, and the study is being conducted to determine what damage, including possible liquifaction, will occur at the airport during an earthquake.

## **Determination**

Minutes approved. No announcements.

# Agenda Item/ Subject Discussed

#### **Minutes**

Dr. Bryan asked if everyone had received information and a survey from David Feldman with the University of Tennessee. Anyone who had not received one could notify Patti Hazel and she will provide them with a copy. Dr. Bryan suggested reviewing this document and discussing it at the next meeting. The attached survey is a proposed draft to be sent to the community after the University receives our comments on it. Jim Dwyer mentioned he had gotten some reactions to the survey form during some calls he made. He suggested closely reviewing the form, calling him with any thoughts, and he would consolidate the views into a composite

### Determination

Responses to draft survey from Univ. of Tennessee need to be telephoned to Jim Dwyer. Information provided by University of Tennessee to be discussed at the February meeting.

REVIEW/ADOPT CHARTER AND MIS-SION STATEMENT Everyone has been provided with a revised set of documents. Those language changes agreed to at the last meeting were incorporated. Jim Dwyer mentioned particularly the addition of the words "and West Lake Landfill" to the Mission Statement. Officially it is not within the scope of the Commissions' original charters, but logically it is connected. We may consider possible solutions to our area of concern that will work as well for West Lake.

statement of recommendations in time for the

next meeting.

There was some discussion concerning to whom recommendations would be made by this body. Reporting to DOE would conflict with FACA regulations. This commission could make recommendations to the City, County and State governmental bodies. Dr. Siegel also suggested changing the word "recommending" to "petitioning" the DOE. David Adler agreed that language would be suitable. Dr. Siegel moved to amend the second half of the first sentence of the Mission Statement, after the words "and at West Lake Landfill", beginning "and to recommend" to read "and to petition the United States Department of Energy to pursue a clean-up strategy that is environmentally acceptable...." All approved this amendment.

Jim Dwyer advised that in telephone conversations with 16 or 18 Task Force participants, he has heard a basic theme overall: impatience. skepticism about whether the Task Force will be able to achieve any meaningful results, and concern about the risk involved in keeping the contaminated material in place and also when it is in transit, and the cost. There is not a uniform level of understanding around the table of the feasibility, practicality, and time element for some proposed solutions, costs and risk involved, etc. He feels the once-a-month meetings of the entire group will not be sufficient to identify the issues needing to be addressed or to develop action steps toward the achievement of recommendations. He proposed working groups of two, three, or more participants to work with particular issues, to develop and summarize information to be shared with the group for clear understanding of the issue. There followed some discussion and proposal of issues that could be addressed, and it was agreed that signup sheets could be used to begin to develop the groups, with input and adjustments to each group by Jim Dwyer as needed. Proposed issues included soil washing technique, an alternate disposal site within Missouri, Utah as an alternate site, risk assessment and the economic and political aspect, and the proposal for cleanup at Mallinckrodt. Glenn Carlson proposed beginning with four groups on the four issues where the scope is currently well defined: Mallinckrodt's proposal, alternative sites, health risks, and standards to be used for the cleanup. The health risks and standards could possibly be one group. It was decided that the alternative sites group should be two groups--one to consider sites in Missouri, one to consider Utah.

The creation of working groups will be developed to review individual issues as they arise and give concise feedback to group as a whole. Four issues currently identified for review are proposal for cleanup at Mallinckrodt, alternative sites in Missouri, alternative in Utah, and health risks and standards for clean up.

TIME LINE, AGENDA, ADJOURNMENT An updated Time line and a document about West Lake were handed out. The agenda for the next meeting was decided. The next meeting will be January 10, 1995, at 7:30 a.m. at the Hazelwood Civic Center. There being no further business, the meeting adjourned.

Updated Time Line handed out.
Next meeting is scheduled for January 10, 1995.

ranscribed by J. Leadbetter. December 12, 1994 Documentation of Other Public Meetings

SL.463 124728

00-1609

Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP)

# **ADMINISTRATIVE** RECORD

for the St. Louis Site, Missouri



ST LOUIS FUSRAP LIBRARY