

ST. LOUIS SITE REMEDIATION TASK FORCE

* * * * *

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

* * * * *

TUESDAY EVENING, SEPTEMBER 24, 1996

* * * * *

BE IT REMEMBERED that on the 24th day of September, 1996, a meeting was conducted by Ms. Sally Price, Chair of the St. Louis Site Remediation Task Force at the Stouffer Renaissance St. Louis Hotel, 9806 Natural Bridge Road, St. Louis, Missouri 63134, and the following proceedings were had, to-wit:

HALE REPORTING, INC.

No. 4 Godfrey
St. Louis, Missouri 63135
(314) 524-2055

INDEX

Page

Appearances	03
Call to Order	04
Announcements	07
Signing and Conveyance of Final Report.	08
Old Business.	09
Adjourn Public Portion of Meeting	29
Reporter's Certificate.	29

1 A P P E A R A N C E S:

2 James Dwyer, Facilitator

3 TASK FORCE PARTICIPANTS:

4 Sally Price, Chair
Anna Ginsburg, Vice Chair

5 Tom Binz, Laclede Gas
6 William Brandes, County Commission
Molly Bunton, St. Louis County
7 Ric Cavanagh, County Health Department

8 Kay Drey
George Eberle, Jr., Grace Hill
9 Neighborhood Association
Jack Fraunhoffer, Mallinckrodt Chemical Inc.
10 Leonard Griggs, Lambert Airport

11 Theodore Hoskins, mayor of the City of Berkeley
12 Peggy Hermes, Coalition for the Environment
Art Jackson
13 Louis B. Jearls, Jr., director of public works,
City of Florissant

14 Donovan Larson, St. Louis County Water Co.
15 Paula Livingston-Thomas, St. Louis County
Health Department
16 Nancy Lubiewski
Tom Manning, City of Hazelwood

17 Bob Marchant, Metropolitan Sewer District
18 Lew Moye, Jr., member city commission
Roger Pryor, Coalition for the Environment
19 Conn Roden, St. Louis County
Elsa Steward, MDNR

20 Interested Parties:

21 R. J. Sims
22 Bob Geller, MDNR
Ron Kucera, MDNR
23 Mitch Scherzinger, MDNR
Dave Wagoner, Envirocare
24 James Werner, DOE
Jack Baudlitz, DOE
25

1 TUESDAY EVENING, SEPTEMBER 24, 1996

2 (In Meeting Room at 6:11 p.m.:)

3 CALL TO ORDER

4 THE FACILITATOR: I think everybody knows
5 the routine tonight. We're going to have a
6 relatively short formal business meeting and then we
7 will adjourn for cocktails and dinner. We're behind
8 schedule and they're holding the hors d'oeuvres --
9 I'm not sure exactly what that means, but they're
10 anticipating our arrival, so we'll try to work
11 through the agenda as quickly as possible.

12 THE CHAIR: Okay. I would first like to
13 bring to your attention two guests this evening from
14 the Department of Energy headquarters. Seated
15 immediately on my left is Mr. Jim Werner who some of
16 you may remember from last week's meeting, he
17 attended our public meeting on Wednesday, he is the
18 director of environmental policy to Thomas Grumbly.
19 And seated to his left is Mr. Jack Baudlitz and he is
20 the associate deputy assistant secretary for
21 environmental restoration.

22 They brought with them some letters that
23 they had -- first of all, a letter from Thomas
24 Grumbly that he sent to each of us expressing his
25 thanks and there's a copy of that on the head table;

1 the other letter is a response that they made to
2 letters from Congressman Bill Clay, also Congressman
3 Gephardt; Freeman Bosley and Governor Carnahan. And
4 these are not copied this evening -- Buzz Westfall
5 also is included here -- but we will get those
6 available to you and mail them to you immediately.

7 Mr. Werner would like to read Tom's
8 letter.

9 MR. WERNER: The letter speaks for itself,
10 for those of you who have it, but I think Tom wanted
11 to very much express his heartfelt appreciation for
12 it and I'll read that.

13 MS. DREY: I'm having trouble hearing you
14 because of my age.

15 MR. WERNER: I wonder what my excuse is
16 going to have to be, Kay. But the letter, as I said,
17 speaks for itself, but aside from the letter I should
18 add that Tom would very strongly like to express his
19 particular appreciation as a baseball fan that he's
20 -- I'm sure very impressed that you all are out here
21 in light of the Cardinals game going on tonight and
22 by the time we adjourn we may have the division
23 champion tonight. He's very impressed with that
24 because given the importance of clean ballfields to
25 this endeavor as well. But the message reads:-

1 "I would like to express my sincere
2 appreciation to each and every member
3 of the St. Louis Remediation Task
4 Force upon completion of your report.
5 You are to be congratulated for tackling
6 a tough assignment on behalf of your
7 community. Your efforts have helped us
8 move forward to resolving the 50-year
9 Cold War legacy in the St. Louis area and
10 I am confident that we can soon begin
11 large-scale cleanup to protect public
12 health and the environment at these sites.

13 I wish I could join you personally to
14 express my gratitude for your efforts but
15 I wish to see you soon to receive your
16 report formally and discuss its contents
17 with you. I am certain you will have a
18 well-deserved celebration dinner.

19 More than two years ago I asked
20 the community around St. Louis to help
21 develop acceptable and achievable goals
22 that are protective of the community.
23 Under the Task Force's charter we committed
24 to honor and respect the recommendations of
25 the Task Force and we will keep our

1 commitment. We take your recommendations
2 very seriously as we continue working with
3 you to develop a plan to clean up the sites.

4 One St. Louis official said that it was
5 the beginning of the end for radioactive
6 waste sites in St. Louis. I'm not sure if
7 it was the beginning of the end or the end
8 of the beginning. It is clear what we all
9 want now is to stop moving paper and begin
10 moving dirt."

11 Signed: Sincerely, Tom Grumbly.

12 And he added a handwritten note:

13 "I thank you all for your dedication and I
14 look forward to working with you in the
15 months and years ahead."

16 ANNOUNCEMENTS

17 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Just a few more
18 announcements. We have copies of the July 2nd
19 working group meeting that we still need to get to
20 you and we will mail those. Along with that we will
21 mail summary highlights from last week's meeting.
22 This is because there have been some printing
23 problems within the office today. It's somewhat
24 short of a miracle that we are altogether here as we
25 sit. But it's coming, you will get them. We will

1 mail those along with the summary highlights of this
2 meeting tonight.

3 So that brings us to public comment. Is
4 there anyone signed up for public comment? Okay.

5 SIGNING AND CONVEYANCE OF FINAL REPORT

6 THE CHAIR: That brings us to signing and
7 conveying the report and we have it up here that Anna
8 and I need to sign.

9 MR. GRIGGS: That's the same pen that was
10 used on the Battleship Missouri.

11 THE CHAIR: Did everyone hear that?

12 MR. GRIGGS: You've got to have a sense of
13 humor.

14 THE CHAIR: I can't talk to this thing right
15 now.

16 THE FACILITATOR: Well perhaps we ought to
17 explain that because of the printing problems there
18 is just one official copy of the final version of the
19 Task Force Report. It is still hot. I think it was
20 delivered ten minutes or so ago and the other copies
21 will be available presumably tomorrow and will be
22 distributed to each of you promptly.

23 MS. DREY: Is this the copy that's being
24 mailed or Fedex-ed tonight?

25 THE CHAIR: That's right.

1 MS. DREY: I heard you can't sign official
2 documents if you're left-handed; is that right?

3 THE CHAIR: It's too late.

4 THE FACILITATOR: It's not right any
5 longer.

6 THE CHAIR: Okay, this is it.

7 THE FACILITATOR: So it's official.

8 THE CHAIR: It is official.

9 THE FACILITATOR: We have a report, we have
10 a signed report

11 OLD BUSINESS

12 THE FACILITATOR: While Sally is stuffing
13 that document into its envelope for delivery to
14 Federal Express, there are two items of old business
15 that we need to cover. Sally, do you want to
16 introduce the charter amendment issue?

17 THE CHAIR: Go ahead.

18 THE FACILITATOR: I'm going to ask you to
19 pass documents down. There are three documents. If
20 you would take one from each of these piles and pass
21 the rest on down until you get to the head of the
22 table. There's another set over here.

23 What you will have are two pages from the
24 charter, the Task Force charter, and one page of a
25 proposed amendment that was drafted just a couple of

1 hours ago with the input of several people from the
2 Task Force. The thrust of which is simply to address
3 the fact that in the existing charter there is a
4 statement to the effect that the Task Force will
5 dissolve at about this point, at about the time the
6 report has been signed and delivered to the
7 Department of Energy.

8 When you get your documents, if you would
9 look at the bottom of page A-3 you will see a
10 paragraph titled Termination of Task Force. This is
11 a document that was worked out and adopted by the
12 Task Force almost two years ago and at the time I
13 think the group wasn't projecting beyond its primary
14 mission which was to develop a set of recommendations
15 to be made to the Department of Energy about how best
16 to clean up the contaminated sites.

17 Do you want to take it from there or shall
18 I continue?

19 THE CHAIR: All right. Well, as you can
20 see the Task Force will dissolve following
21 fulfillment of its stated purpose, i.e., the
22 submission of the site cleanup recommendations to the
23 DOE assistant secretary unless the Task Force agrees
24 to an expansion of its charter.

25 So if we go back to our scope and our

1 purpose, I felt that in order to do this right we
2 should expand that paragraph which is on the first
3 page and the language that we proposed was a separate
4 sentence and that's the third page that you've just
5 been handed. So I would like for you to review that
6 and decide whether you want it to stand on its own as
7 a separate sentence or an appendix to the charter or
8 if we want to incorporate it into the paragraph Scope
9 and Purpose.

10 THE FACILITATOR: Why don't you take a
11 minute to read the proposed charter amendment.

12 MR. PRYOR: I'll move the adoption of this
13 charter amendment for the sake of getting this on the
14 floor.

15 THE FACILITATOR: Thank you, Roger. Is
16 there a second to Roger Pryor's motion? There are
17 several seconds -- Tom Manning and Bob Marchant are
18 the first, Jack Fraunhoffer. So there are three
19 seconds. Is there any discussion?

20 MR. FRAUENHOFFER: That was for
21 discussion.

22 THE FACILITATOR: Oh it was, I see.

23 MR. FRAUENHOFFER: The original charter
24 allowed us to agree to an expansion. By making this
25 statement and saying we will remain intact until such

1 time as we evaluate the Task Force appropriate roles,
2 future role, do we close ourselves off? In other
3 words, the way it reads now/as soon as we evaluate
4 our appropriate role we're done. That means we'd
5 have to form another group.

6 THE FACILITATOR: Well, that's a good
7 example of the issue we were trying to grapple with
8 just an hour and a half ago.

9 MR. FRAUENHOFFER: Shouldn't we leave it
10 open as we did before if we choose to have this Task
11 Force to be an oversight group for future --

12 THE FACILITATOR: That was the notion. The
13 notion was to have maximum flexibility so that you
14 could determine anything from let's dissolve to let's
15 stay intact for the following reasons but that we
16 thought couldn't occur effectively until after a
17 response had been received from the Department of
18 Energy and there had been an opportunity for the Task
19 Force to evaluate what it thought it ought to do
20 following that response.

21 MR. FRAUENHOFFER: I guess what I'm asking
22 is should there be Item 3 which says -- and after
23 this evaluation determine if the Task Force wants to
24 take an active role in oversight of DOE's
25 implementation of the recommendations.

1 THE CHAIR: Well, I think we could add it
2 has evaluated the Task Force's appropriate future
3 role or roles and taken action to form -- the problem
4 is that if we nail down language with like site
5 specific advisory board, or anything of those things,
6 we are in a narrow path toward one dimension. And I
7 don't want to do that right now. I don't know what
8 type of group will be needed.

9 MR. FRAUENHOFFER: That's my point. Can
10 somebody think of the wording that would allow us to
11 keep it open like we had before so that we can choose
12 to use this group that already exists.

13 MR. CAVANAGH: Could we just change on
14 point 2, change the word evaluated to determine?

15 THE FACILITATOR: Well, that certainly
16 broadens it.

17 MR. CAVANAGH: And I think that's what
18 you're trying to say, Jack. Because I would agree,
19 to evaluate it and then we'd have to have another
20 step that isn't there if we just determined what the
21 Task Force's appropriate future would be.

22 THE FACILITATOR: Does that do it for you?

23 MR. CAVANAGH: Yes.

24 THE FACILITATOR: It does?

25 MR. CAVANAGH: I think so.

1 THE FACILITATOR: So if we change the word
2 evaluate to determine the Task Force's future role or
3 roles -- does anybody else have any comment on that
4 on that particular point? Any other comments or
5 observations with regard to the motion as a whole?
6 Yes, Kay.

7 MS. DREY: I guess it's possible the Task
8 Force as such would cease to exist, right? So it
9 could be the Task Force would not exist but we would
10 determine a future role of some other entity. Now,
11 is that clear in here or --

12 MR. CAVANAGH: My intention was to leave it
13 very implicitly vague.

14 MS. DREY: May I quote you on that?

15 MR. CAVANAGH: Please do.

16 THE FACILITATOR: Well, it's in the record
17 now.

18 MR. CAVANAGH: I mean literally it just
19 leaves things wide open. The way it reads right now,
20 once it's been evaluated we'd then have another step
21 whereas it's just eliminating that and we will
22 determine what were going to be and we will do it.
23 That was my intent.

24 MS. STEWARD: Do we know what the status of
25 DOE funding would be in the event the Task Force

1 continued to meet?

2 THE FACILITATOR: Does anyone have an
3 answer to that question?

4 MR. GRIGGS: The federal government doesn't
5 even have an answer to that one, to be blunt.

6 MR. BAUDLITZ: I think it's fair to say
7 that DOE would really like to have some way to
8 continue a dialogue with representatives of the
9 community here in the future and whatever provisions
10 have been made for the Task Force. I think something
11 similar to that could reasonably be expected for
12 whatever follows the Task Force.

13 THE FACILITATOR: Thank you, Jack. Any
14 other questions?

15 MR. PRYOR: I think clearly Mr. Grumbly's
16 letter implies his willingness and DOE's willingness
17 to continue working with us so I have to assume that
18 would mean, you know, if funds are available they're
19 willing to continue to support this effort.

20 The one place that I might change, though,
21 and I think it's an issue that we need to discuss
22 when we actually have this next meeting, is the
23 question of -- I mean, this is going to go into a
24 political realm basically.

25 Representative Talent, and I think Mr. Clay

1 and Mr. Gephardt, Senator Bond and the governor have
2 all made that clear, the county executive and the
3 mayor, that the real issue now is getting funds and
4 that's a political effort and at that point if we
5 start working on trying to guarantee the funds to
6 make this thing happen that might put DOE at a funny
7 position of supporting this group.

8 I mean, I don't think the federal
9 government can fund groups to lobby itself, though it
10 may happen more often than we think, but I think
11 that's one of the issues we need to discuss is how we
12 proceed. But clearly I was very encouraged by Mr.
13 Grumbly's letter that they seem very anxious for us
14 to continue in some form.

15 THE FACILITATOR: Well, I think the whole
16 point of this is simply to get us over the hump
17 tonight and give us sufficient playing room to
18 determine whatever we think is appropriate and then
19 to communicate that to the Department of Energy.

20 MR. WERNER: Roger, you're absolutely right
21 that the department cannot encourage anybody to lobby
22 Congress. However, we can provide you with
23 information, resources to better understand the
24 issues and to communicate more effectively with us
25 and to work on the issue. It's not a problem:

1 We have currently, I think at least 13 site
2 specific advisory boards around the country, we have
3 funded approximately 30 different task forces around
4 the country, we have a 137 nuclear weapon waste sites
5 around the country, we're working through these
6 issues. This is not a problem. Thank you.

7 THE FACILITATOR: Thank you. Anymore
8 discussion?

9 MR. EBERLE: Just summarize what we have
10 ended up with.

11 THE FACILITATOR: Well, the only change I'm
12 aware of then is the one that was proposed by Ric
13 Cavanagh and that is that we substitute the word
14 determined for the word evaluated in point 2 of the
15 proposed charter amendment.

16 THE CHAIR: I think the purpose of the word
17 appropriate is to cover any of the possibilities that
18 might be there for a group and it's very hard right
19 now to know what kind we need because we don't know
20 what DOE's response is. And I think the response and
21 what recommendations of ours will be enacted, you
22 know, then we will know what we need to do.

23 MS. DREY: I guess my question again is to
24 think that maybe we wouldn't want this big Task Force
25 to continue and I'm not sure that the way No. 2 is

1 worded that that's clear.

2 THE CHAIR: Well, you have to go to the top
3 where it says we will remain intact until such time
4 as that No. 2 occurs.

5 MS. DREY: Well, I wish we could somehow
6 commit the Task Force to make sure it has a body.

7 MR. LARSON: Kay, what about this? This is
8 a just an idea. The suggestion is that possibly, and
9 to build on Mr. Cavanagh's change and to try to keep
10 it simple, take out the word evaluated and insert
11 this: No. 2, it has determined and implemented, if
12 necessary, the Task Force's appropriate future
13 roles. That way we would have the determined and we
14 would have had to take some sort of action. I mean,
15 we're not committing to anything specifically but if
16 we commit ourselves to determine and implement, if
17 necessary, then we can be sure of some action whether
18 it's to dissolve or it is to form a new body.

19 MS. DREY: Well I guess, you know, I would
20 like something that would indicate we want to
21 implement the Task Force's recommendations. You
22 know, determine the Task Force's future roles but
23 that would somehow create a body that would implement
24 -- work to implement the Task Force's
25 recommendations.

1 Roger said something on the way out here
2 about some kind of a political action committee. But
3 I just wish somehow this would embody the commitment,
4 you know, so that the Task Force makes sure that we
5 leave some group behind whether it's the Task Force
6 itself or some other site specific advisory board or
7 political action committee or something that would
8 take the ball and run with it.

9 THE FACILITATOR: Any reactions to Kay's
10 comments?

11 MR. EBERLE: I would agree with that. And
12 I think that it's possible to interpret what the
13 charge has been and then thereby conclude that we're
14 required to take this next step.

15 THE FACILITATOR: Yeah, I agree with you.
16 I think that there is room in the language, as
17 modified with Ric's suggestion, there's plenty of
18 room to decide well we don't need a body of 40
19 people, if that's what you conclude, but we do need
20 or we think we need a body of 15 people and here's
21 why.

22 MR. EBERLE: But there is a statement that
23 there be some continuity.

24 THE CHAIR: Right.

25 MS. DREY: I think we need that.

1 THE FACILITATOR: Well to provide for
2 ongoing continuity, suppose we added that clause to
3 the end of the sentence to --

4 MS. DREY: Implementation and
5 recommendations is the phrase I'm seeking.

6 THE FACILITATOR: Well, why don't you tell
7 us the phrase. Plug it into that sentence if you
8 will.

9 MS. DREY: I don't know how.

10 THE CHAIR: Kay, what's there will allow
11 for that. I just feel that the language that's there
12 is broad enough to include anything that we decide
13 and it would certainly to do that, what you're
14 suggesting.

15 MS. DREY: Then what about the word
16 continuity.

17 THE CHAIR: Continuity I like.

18 THE FACILITATOR: Well, how about then it
19 has determined -- point 2 -- it has determined the
20 Task Force's appropriate future roles or otherwise
21 provided for ongoing continuity.

22 MS. DREY: And provides, not otherwise.

23 THE FACILITATOR: All right, and provided
24 for ongoing continuity. Does that do it?

25 MR. GRIGGS: You know, the simple proposal

1 in the first place that determined the Task Force's
2 appropriate future role says it all. You know, we
3 can wordsmith it all day long but that's all you
4 really need to say. Your determination covers the
5 whole broad thing of the people, by the people and
6 for the people.

7 MS. DREY: But what if this group decides
8 to disband and not do anything with the
9 recommendations? I wish we could do something so
10 that can't happen. I mean, put people in a room and
11 lock them in.

12 MS. GINSBURG: Kay, I have complete
13 confidence that if this group disbands, you'll form
14 another one.

15 MR. GRIGGS: I would like to second that.

16 THE FACILITATOR: The point really was that
17 we didn't think there was adequate time to think this
18 through thoroughly tonight, to debate the pros and
19 cons, and there would be a better opportunity to do
20 that.

21 MS. DREY: Well, I think we need to be
22 specific.

23 MR. PRYOR: Well, I was just going to
24 disagree with Kay on this one, I think. Although I
25 do so with great temerity. I think that there is if

1 you read the sentence, It's determined the Task Force
2 appropriate future role or roles, even though
3 conceivably the group could say we have no future
4 role, you read this sentence there is a bias in it
5 that there is some appropriate future role and we
6 just don't know what it is yet. And I cannot
7 conceive that the group would come up and say --
8 that's it, we're all walking away from it. So I
9 don't think that's a problem. I think this is just a
10 means to get us to the next step so we can have this
11 thorough discussion and I think you're gilding the
12 lily.

13 MR. EBERLE: Roger, just to discuss that.
14 It would seem an appropriate -- a decision of
15 appropriateness could say there is no longer any need
16 to do anything. Now whether that's possible, whether
17 that would happen or not, is of a different order. I
18 think it would be permissible to say that an
19 interpretation of this charge requires us to assure
20 that some of appropriate group implements what comes
21 back as opposed to leaving it open to possibly
22 deciding that well there's nothing else for us to do.

23 MR. PRYOR: I think that's true. But I
24 also think that this Task Force has put together --
25 people were invited to take part in it. Some

1 representing communities, some elected officials,
2 some representing agencies, some representing
3 organizations and some just representing citizens on
4 these two city and county commissions and I don't
5 know if we can just assume that everybody who is at
6 this table today who helped develop the
7 recommendations will be able to because of the nature
8 of what they do for a living or would want to do
9 whatever it is we decide to do next. And since we
10 don't know what that is yet, I don't think we can
11 tell everybody you're stuck on this Task Force for
12 God knows how long. It may be if we decide to have a
13 different -- to call it something else, we may call
14 it the same thing, but we don't know that. And I
15 just think this gives us a means to go forward and
16 make that determination. And I'm getting hungry.

17 THE FACILITATOR: Is there anymore
18 discussion? At the moment, as I understand it, the
19 only amendment to what was typed is the substitution
20 of the word determined for evaluated. You understand
21 Kay's point, you've heard everyone else's point of
22 view. Is there anything else to be said on this
23 issue? There is a motion on the floor and it has
24 been seconded.

25 THE CHAIR: I will call the question if

1 there's no other discussion. Okay. All in favor say
2 aye? All opposed? Any abstentions? One. That's
3 it.

4 THE FACILITATOR: All right.

5 MS. DREY: I'll abstain too.

6 THE FACILITATOR: All right, two
7 abstentions.

8 THE CHAIR: Two abstentions, okay. So the
9 motion is passed and we will be forming -- we will
10 decide how we proceed when we get word from DOE on
11 the report which I expect relatively soon, we don't
12 know when, but we will be in touch about the next
13 meeting.

14 THE FACILITATOR: There's one other
15 procedural item I would just like to bring up. We do
16 need you to sign in tonight. We need to have a
17 record of who was here. There is the usual sign-up
18 sheet on the table. Sarah, is taking care of it.
19 You've got it covered? Okay, we don't have to worry
20 about it. That's all I have.

21 THE CHAIR: And I just need a motion to
22 adjourn.

23 MS. DREY: Maybe there's other business.

24 THE CHAIR: We don't have a new business
25 category on the agenda tonight, Kay.

1 MS. LUBIEWSKI: David Adler who has
2 suffered through this because of us for the last
3 couple of years and he's absent tonight and I was
4 wondering where he was at.

5 MR. BAUDLITZ: One of those curious
6 evolutions of process that goes on sometimes in the
7 federal government, when the meeting was first
8 established the understanding that was existent in
9 the DOE people's minds was that this was intended to
10 be sort of an internal Task Force active members,
11 voting members only, so Dave planned not to come and
12 do something else, which I don't know what that is,
13 and then somewhat at the last minute when Mr. Grumbly
14 was unable to attend it turned out that Jim and I
15 were called upon to be substitutes for Mr. Grumbly
16 and we came and at that point when I -- I did talk to
17 Dave this morning as a matter of fact and it was too
18 late for him to change the plans, whatever they were
19 that he had made, and still get from Knoxville to
20 here in time for the meeting. So his heart is here,
21 I'm sure. But it was just some confusion and some
22 other plans.

23 MS. DREY: I guess I have two more
24 questions or something. I wonder if we could make
25 one other change to the termination of the Task Force

1 and to ask if we could amend this last sentence on
2 page A-3 to say the Task Force -- well, the
3 submission of the site cleanup recommendations to the
4 DOE secretary, undersecretary -- you know, to DOE
5 Secretary Hazel O'Leary, Undersecretary Thomas
6 Grumbly and Assistant Secretary, I guess, for
7 Environmental Management, Al Ulm. If that would be
8 possible to amend this wording to ask that this go to
9 all three of them.

10 THE CHAIR: It might be simpler to just ask
11 for a motion.

12 MS. DREY: I'm moving --

13 THE CHAIR: I thought you wanted to change
14 the charter?

15 MS. DREY: Oh, no. I just want to move --
16 I'll do whatever I'm supposed to do, that's what I'm
17 asking you to do. I move to do that.

18 THE CHAIR: Okay. That transmit a copy to
19 Hazel O'Leary and also Undersecretary Thomas
20 Grumbly?

21 MS. DREY: Right, and Al Ulm.

22 THE CHAIR: Okay, that's already
23 understood. That was in our charter and the Fedex
24 went.

25 MS. DREY: So you don't need my motion?

1 THE CHAIR: We don't need anything
2 pertaining to Mr. Ulm. But as far as Hazel O'Leary
3 and Thomas Grumbly, yes.

4 MS. DREY: Right. Add those two names,
5 that's what I move.

6 THE FACILITATOR: There is motion. Is
7 there a second to that? Thank you. Any discussion?
8 We'll call the question. All those in favor please
9 signify by saying aye? Opposed? Abstaining? It's
10 unanimous.

11 MS. DREY: I have another question.

12 THE CHAIR: Yes.

13 MS. DREY: And nobody can interrupt me
14 because of my age -- I'll forget my question. I mean
15 I will remember it in just a minute. Okay, my
16 question is I would like to request formally that Tom
17 Grumbly come to St. Louis to meet with us and meet
18 with the community if possible or, you know, at least
19 with the media or something and let us discuss with
20 him our request because it was he who gave us this
21 opportunity and I hope that he will be able to be
22 here personally to, you know, for us to meet with
23 him, if possible, and to let him meet with media
24 people in St. Louis so we can really kind of start
25 proselytizing.

1 THE CHAIR: Okay, I can include that
2 thought in the transmittal letter that I send to him
3 with the report and we can see, you know, what his
4 response is. I know that he knows that from the
5 public meeting last week, correct? It was asked then
6 that he come, and so we will reiterate that thought
7 again in the transmittal letter.

8 MS. DREY: I mean, not on television him;
9 we want like him.

10 THE CHAIR: Okay.

11 MR. PRYOR: I thought his letter says I
12 expect to see you soon to receive the report formally
13 and discuss its contents with you. I thought that
14 implies that he was going to come.

15 THE CHAIR: It does. I think it does but
16 we can include it in the transmittal letter again.
17 We can invite him to come. Okay.

18 MS. DREY: And thank him for offering to
19 come.

20 THE CHAIR: Okay, we'll do that.

21 THE FACILITATOR: So have we got that
22 covered or do we need to take further action, Kay?

23 MS. DREY: That's fine.

24 THE FACILITATOR: All right, good. Thank
25 you. I been asked to remind you just so that it is

1 clear to everyone there is a cash bar. The cost of
2 dinner will be absorbed by the Department of Energy
3 and just so there is no confusion you should know
4 that you will have to pay for your parking as well.
5 Anything else? Okay. Thank you.

6 THE CHAIR: We're adjourned.

7 MS. DREY: I think we should applaud
8 ourselves.

9 THE CHAIR: Oh, sure.

10

11 (MEETING ADJOURNED AT APPROXIMATELY 6:40 P.M.)

12

13

14

* * * * *

15

16

CERTIFICATE

17

18 I hereby certify that the foregoing is an
19 accurate and complete transcription of my shorthand
20 notes taken at the aforesaid time and place.

21

22

23

24

25


Court Reporter


Date

00-2162

146661
SL-1066

00-2162

Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP)

ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD

for the St. Louis Site, Missouri



U.S. Department of Energy