

2011
REND LAKE
WATER QUALITY
REPORT



U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, ST. LOUIS DISTRICT
ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING SECTION – WATER QUALITY

Table of Contents

Water Quality Report-Rend Lake

Section and Page No.

1.0 GENERAL OVERVIEW.....	pg. 1
2.0 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT CRITERIA.....	pg. 4
3.0 SUMMARY OF MONITORING RESULTS.....	pg. 9
4.0 PLANNED 2012 STUDIES	pg. 12

List of Figures & Tables

Figure 1: Lake Map.....	pg. 3
Table 2.1 State of Illinois - Water Quality Standards	pg. 4

Appendix

Appendix A: Laboratory Data	pg. A1-A21
-----------------------------------	------------

Appendix B: Laboratory Data Graphs

Fecal Coliform	pg. B1
Metals (Iron & Manganese).....	pg. B2
Nitrogen (NH ₃ -N & NO ₃ -NO ₂).....	pg. B3-B4
Orthophosphate & Total Phosphate	pg. B5-B6
Chlorophyll & Pheophytin.....	pg. B7
Pesticides.....	pg. B8
TSS & VSS	pg. B9
TOC.....	pg. B10

Appendix C: Field Data & Graphs

Field Data.....	pg. C1-C2
Temperature & DO	pg. C3-C7
pH.....	pg. C8-C12
Redox & Conductivity	pg. C13-C17
Secchi.....	pg. C18

Appendix D: Beach Water Quality Data & Graphs

Datapg. D1-D2
South Sandusky.....pg. D3
Rend Lake Marina.....pg. D4
Dale Millerpg. D5

Executive Summary

The purpose of this report is to provide an annual analysis of the water quality in the lake for the past year. Rend Lake is located in Franklin and Jefferson Counties of southern Illinois. The dam is located on the Big Muddy River, 103.7 miles upstream from its confluence with the Mississippi River and approximately 3 miles northwest of Benton, Illinois. At pool elevation 405, the lake has a water surface area of 18,900 acres, shoreline of 162 miles and is 13 miles long. Two sub-impoundment dams located on the Big Muddy and Casey Fork tributaries in the upper reaches of the lake. The State of Illinois is responsible for managing and maintaining these sub-impoundment dams. These dams are operated to maximize wildlife management and development. The lake is also a source for a public water supply.

The water of Rend Lake and the downstream river channel is generally good. The lake is a shallow reservoir susceptible to high winds. These conditions prevent the lake from stratifying for long periods during the summer months.

All sampling sites met the appropriate state standards during 2011 except the phosphorous levels. Phosphorous levels have exceeded the state standard on a routine basis. Generally the tailwater and lake phosphorous levels are lower than the incoming tributary flows, which indicates that the lake is sinking the phosphorous. This is also occurring with nitrogen. The project area has several pollution potentials, with agriculture probably being the major contributor, but at present time, no major form of degradation to the lake or streams is apparent. Constant water quality monitoring will continue to check future degradation of the watershed.

WATER QUALITY MONITORING PROGRAM

1.0 GENERAL OVERVIEW

This report summarizes water quality activities of the St. Louis District for Fiscal Year 2011 in accordance with ER 1110-2-8154 Water Quality & Environmental management for Corps Civil Works Projects and ETL 1110-2-362 Environmental Engineering Initiatives for Water Management.

Water quality monitoring remains one of the Sections major responsibilities. The objective is to maintain a reasonable environmental monitoring program for the Mississippi River and the 5 lakes under the St. Louis District's control. The District's reservoirs consist of Mark Twain and Wappapello Lakes in Missouri, and Shelbyville, Carlyle and Rend Lakes in Illinois. Water quality sampling is conducted within the lakes and their tributaries to establish trend analysis and maintain water quality at or above state and federal regulations.

The main objective is to provide technical expertise of an environmental nature to all Corps elements requesting assistance in accordance with ER 1110-2-8154. This would include updating the water quality management priorities for the district's projects to ensure water quality meets the state and federal regulations, for protection of human health and the environment, and for the safety and economic welfare of those at Corps projects. Ongoing goals include ensuring that downstream water quality meets all state and federal regulations, is suitable for aquatic and human life, and continue to evaluate trend analysis in relation to baseline conditions at all projects.

Water quality data is provided to the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA) to be used in the Illinois Integrated Water Quality Report which is required every two years by the Clean Water Act Sections 303(d) and 305(b). IEPA does not typically monitor the three Corps lakes in Illinois. However, IEPA has stated that since the Corps lakes are the 3 largest lakes in the state, it is critical that their quality be routinely assessed. The state indicated that having the federally collected water quality data available now and in the future is critical to the state of Illinois meeting their mission in complying with the Clean Water Act Sections 305(b) and 303(d).

The National Water Quality Inventory Report to Congress 305(b) report is the primary vehicle for informing Congress and the public about general water quality conditions in the United States. This document characterizes our water quality, identifies widespread water quality problems of national significance, and describes various programs implemented to restore and protect our waters.

Under Section 303(d) of the 1972 Clean Water Act, states, territories and authorized tribes are required to develop a list of water quality limited segments. These waters on the list do not meet water quality standards, even after point sources of pollution have installed the minimum required levels of pollution control technology. The law requires that these

jurisdictions establish priority rankings for water on the lists and develop action plans, called as Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDL), to improve water quality.

Currently the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA) has listed Rend Lake impaired for total suspended solids, total phosphorous, mercury, and manganese. The lists of sources for these impairments are urban runoff, crop production, shore modifications, recreational pollution, and unknown sources. Continued monitoring of the lake and its tributaries is vital in assisting the future assessment of the lake for these and other possible impairments. The water quality monitoring program represents the single metric that encompasses the overall health of the watershed as it is a direct measure of how well the environmental stewardship programs are working.

1.1 INTRODUCTION

Rend Lake is within the Big Muddy River basin in south central Illinois. The lake serves as a heavy recreational usage lake and as a water supply to numerous communities. The land surrounding the lake is used predominately for agriculture and mining. Surrounding communities have existing industrial/commercial operations and residents which discharge wastewater into municipal wastewater treatment plants which ultimately discharge treated water into the Big Muddy River basin. Agricultural and coal mine runoff and municipal wastewater treatment facilities are the primary potential source of pollution into the Rend Lake watershed. Additional sources are marinas, nearby subdivisions, industrial activities, recreational watercraft discharges and the golf course adjacent to the lake property.

Water quality monitoring was conducted during 2011 to assure safe conditions for human recreation, wildlife and aquatic life as maintained and managed within the lake system. In 2011 4 sampling events were conducted at 7 sites took place between April and October. During the sampling period one site was selected for quality control duplication and denoted as REN-15. The locations of the seven sampling sites are depicted on the lake map in Figure 1.




Figure 1
Location of sample sites

2.0 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

2.1 Water Quality

The water quality assessment criteria were based upon the State of Illinois regulatory limits for certain contaminants, which has been generally accepted criteria for sustaining adequate aquatic plant and animal growth. The samplings and analysis which were conducted at the Rend Lake sites reflect the minimal set of parameters needed to analyze the current status of water quality for the Rend Lake system.

The following parameters were analyzed in the Fiscal Year 2011 samplings at Rend Lake: Total Organic Carbon (TOC), iron, manganese, ammonia-nitrogen, nitrate-nitrogen, orthophosphate, total phosphate, Total Suspended Solids (TSS), Total Volatile Suspended Solids (TVSS), E. coliform, pH, temperature, dissolved oxygen, specific conductance, oxidation-reduction potential (ORP), chlorophyll, pheophytin-a, atrazine and alachlor,

The Illinois Environmental Protection Agency in Title 35, Subtitle, C, classifies water quality criteria based on end usage. Subpart B contains regulations for general use water, while subparts C and D delineate those for public and food processing water and secondary contact and indigenous aquatic life standards, respectively. These standards are used to determine the aquatic water quality of the lake. Table 2.1 provides a listing of the regulatory limits for the parameters analyzed where a limit has been established.

PARAMETER	LIMIT
Temperature	Rise of 2.8°C above normal seasonal temp
Ammonia Nitrogen	15 mg/L
Nitrate Nitrogen	10 mg/L
Total Iron	2.0 mg/L (2 nd Contact & Aquatic Life)
Manganese	1.0 mg/L
Total Phosphate	0.05 mg/L Lakes; 0.61 mg/L Streams
E. Coli	Illinois standard is 235 E. coli per 100ml for single sample or 126 for geometric mean.
pH	Range: 6.5 to 9.0
DO	> 5.0 mg/L
Conductivity	1,667 μ S/cm \approx TDS of 1,000 mg/L
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	116mg/L (Streams); \geq 12mg/L (Lakes)
Atrazine	0.003 mg/L ¹ ; 82 μ g/L ² ; 9 μ g/L ³
Alachlor	0.002 mg/L (Drinking Water Standard)
Cyanazine	370 μ g/L Acute; 30 μ g/L Chronic
Metolachlor	1.7mg/L Acute
Simazine	4.0 μ g/L ¹

Trifluralin	26ug/L Acute; 1.1ug/L Chronic
-------------	-------------------------------

¹ Drinking Water Standard

² Acute

³ Chronic

Nitrogen is an essential component of proteins, genetic material, chlorophyll, and other key organic molecules. All organisms require nitrogen in order to survive. Nitrogen exists in several forms. These forms include gaseous nitrogen (N₂), nitrites (NO₂), nitrate (NO₃), ammonia nitrogen (NH₃-N), and ammonium (NH₄). Ammonia can be toxic to fish and other aquatic organisms at certain levels. Unlike ammonia, ammonium (NH₄) is not toxic to aquatic organisms and is readily available for uptake by plankton and macrophytes. Nitrogen levels have increased as human activities have accelerated the rate of fixed nitrogen being put into circulation. High nitrogen levels can cause eutrophication. Eutrophication increases biomass of phytoplankton, decrease water transparency, and causes oxygen depletion. Ammonia nitrogen is monitored so that the effects on fish spawning, hatching, growth rate and pathologic changes in gills, liver and kidney tissue can be related to the detected levels of ammonia nitrogen. Nitrate-nitrogen degrades to nitrite or produces ammonia which has a detrimental effect on aquatic life and, therefore, has been monitored to assure levels are below the regulatory "safe" limit.

Phosphate has been analyzed as phosphorus and has been monitored due to the potential for uptake by nuisance algae. Levels of phosphate can indicate the potential for rapid growth of algae (algae bloom) which can cause serious oxygen depletion during the algae decay process. Phosphorous is typically the limiting nutrient in a water body. Therefore, addition of phosphorous to the ecosystem stimulates the growth of plants and algae. Phosphorous is delivered to lakes and streams by way of storm water runoff from agricultural fields, residential property, and construction sites. Other sources of phosphorous are anaerobic decomposition of organic matter, leaking sewer systems, waterfowl, and point source pollution. The general standard for phosphorous in lake water is 0.05mg/L. Dissolved phosphorous also called ortho-phosphorous is generally found in much smaller concentrations than total phosphorous and is readily available for uptake. For this reason dissolved phosphorous concentrations are variable and difficult to use as an indicator of nutrient availability.

The metals manganese and iron are nutrients for both plants and animals. Living organisms require trace amounts of metals. However, excessive amounts can be harmful to the organism. Heavy metals exist in surface waters in three forms, colloidal, particulate, and dissolved. Water chemistry determines the rate of adsorption and desorption of metals to and from sediment. Metals are desorbed from the sediment if the water experiences increases in salinity, decreases in redox potential, or decreases in pH. Metals in surface waters can be from natural or human sources. Currently human sources contribute more metals than natural sources. Metals levels in surface water may pose a health risk to humans and the environment.

Photosynthetic activity can be hindered by the levels of total suspended solids. Total suspended solids concentrations, which cause the photosynthetic activity to be reduced by more than 10% from the seasonably established norm, can have a detrimental effect on aquatic life. Soil particles, organic material, and other debris comprise suspended solids in the water column. Secchi disk measurements are inverse to suspended solid measurements. As the total suspended

solids (TSS) increase, the secchi disk depth or water transparency decreases. Total suspended solids can be an important indicator of the type and degree of turbidity. TSS measurements represent a combination volatile suspended solids (VSS) which is comprised of organic material and nonvolatile suspended solids (NVSS) which is comprised of inorganic mineral particles in the water. In order to more accurately determine the types and amounts of suspended solids, volatile suspended solids (VSS) are analyzed. VSS concentration represents the organic portion of the total suspended solids. Organic material often includes plankton and additional plant and animal debris that is present in water. Total volatile solids indicate the presence of organics in suspension and, therefore, show additional demand levels of oxygen. Illinois recommends a TSS standard of 116mg/L for streams and ≥ 12 mg/L for lakes. Literature suggests that NVSS above 15mg/L could highly impair recreational lake use and a NVSS of 3 to 7mg/L might cause slight impairment.

Chlorophyll and pheophytin-a are monitored to provide indicators of algae growth and, therefore, potential oxygen depletion activity. Chlorophyll is measured in lakes to estimate the type and amount of algal productivity in the water column. Chlorophyll a is present in green algae, blue-green algae, and in diatoms. Chlorophyll a is often used to indicate the degree of eutrophication. Chlorophyll b and c are used to estimate the extent of algal diversity and productivity. Chlorophyll b is common in green algae and is used as an auxiliary pigment for photosynthesis. Chlorophyll c is most common in diatom species and serves as an auxiliary pigment. Algal productivity and diversity can be determined by the concentrations of the individual pigments. For example high concentrations of chlorophyll a and b would indicate that green algae is abundant. High concentrations of chlorophyll a would indicate abundance of blue-green algae and concentrations of chlorophyll a and c would indicate diatoms are the dominant species. Chlorophyll production is currently being connected with hypoxia.

Fecal coliform bacteria is monitored for the protection of human health as it relates to full body contact of recreational waters. People can be exposed to disease-causing organisms, such as bacteria, viruses and protozoa in beach and recreational waters mainly through accidental ingestion of contaminated water or through skin contact. These organisms, called pathogens, usually come from the feces of humans and other warm-blooded animals. If taken into the body, pathogens can cause various illnesses and on rare occasions, even death. Waterborne illnesses include diseases resulting from bacteria infection such as cholera, salmonellosis, and gastroenteritis, viral infections such as hepatitis, gastroenteritis, and intestinal diseases, and protozoan infections such as ameobic dysentery and giardiasis. The most commonly monitored recreational water indicator organisms are fecal coliform, Escherichia coli, (E. coli) and enterococci. Fecal coliform are bacteria that live in the intestinal tracts of warm-blooded animals. The standard for fecal coliform is less than 500 colonies per 100ml of sample water. Fecal coliform was originally recommended in 1968 by the Federal Water Pollution Control Administration (predecessor to EPA) as an effective water quality indicator organism for recreational waters. Recent studies indicate that fecal coliform show less correlation to illness than other indicator organisms such as E. coli and enterococci. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) currently recommends E. coli or enterococci as an indicator organism for fresh waters. Since 2009 the St. Louis District has been using E. coli as the standard indicator.

Atrazine and Alachlor herbicides are commonly used agricultural chemicals which can be

readily transported by rainfall runoff. Both compounds are suspected of causing cancer and, therefore, were monitored for the protection of human and aquatic health. Organic compounds include many pesticides. A pesticide can be any substance that is intended to prevent, destroy, repel, or mitigate any pest. This includes insecticides, herbicides, fungicides, fumigants, algaecides and other substances. Herbicides which are pesticides used to kill vegetation are the most widely used and sampled. Ten of the most frequently used herbicides and detected in water are Atrazine, Metolachlor, Alachlor, 2,4-D, Trifluralin, Glyphosate, Dicamba, Cyanazine, Simazine, and 2,4,5-T. Two of the most widely used pesticides are Atrazine and Alachlor. Atrazine is a preemergence or postemergence herbicide use to control broadleaf weeds and annual grasses. Atrazine is most commonly detected in ground and surface water due to its wide use, and its ability to persist in soil and move in water. Alachlor is a Restricted Use Pesticide (RUP) due to the potential to contaminate groundwater. The drinking water standard for Atrazine is 0.003mg/L and 0.002 mg/L for Alachlor.

Temperature, dissolved oxygen and pH are monitored for the protection of aquatic life. Temperature is important because it controls several aspects of water quality. Colder water hold more dissolved oxygen which is required by aquatic organisms. Plants grow more rapidly and use more oxygen in warmer water. Decomposition of organic matter which uses oxygen is accelerated in warmer water. Temperature can also determine the availability of toxic compounds such as ammonia. Since aquatic organisms are cold blooded, water temperature regulates their metabolism and ability to survive. The number and kinds of organisms that are found in streams or lakes is directly related to temperature. Certain organisms require a specific temperature range, such as trout, which require water temperatures below 20°C. Most aquatic organisms require a minimum concentration of dissolved oxygen to survive. In spring, surface waters of the lake mix with the water below through wind and thermal action. This mixing diminishes as the upper layer of water becomes warmer and less dense. Solar insolation during the summer months stratifies the lake into three zones. The upper warmer water zone is called the epilimnion and the lower cooler water zone is called the hypolimnion. The epilimnion and the hypolimnion zones are divided by a transition zone known as the metalimnion. The thermocline located within the metalimnion exhibits a rapid change in water temperature. During the summer months the hypolimnion may become anaerobic. In this anaerobic zone, chemical reduction of iron and manganese, or the production of methane and sulfides can occur. Iron rapidly oxidizes in aerobic environments, but manganese oxidizes slowly and can remain in the reduced state for long distances down stream even in aerobic environments. The degree of acidity of water is measured by a logarithmic scale ranging from 0 to 14 and is known as the pH scale. A reading of 7 indicates neutrality and readings below seven are acidic and above are alkaline. Most Illinois lakes range from 6 to 9 on the pH scale. The buffering capacity of water is the ability to neutralize acid better known as alkalinity. A high alkalinity concentration indicates an increased ability to neutralize pH and resist changes, whereas a low alkalinity concentration indicates that a water body is vulnerable to changes in pH.

Conductivity is a measure of a water's ability to conduct an electrical current. The ability to carry a current is often driven by the dissolved materials present in a water column. These materials can include dissolved ions and other materials in the water and thus are directly proportional to the concentration of total dissolved solids (TDS) present in the water column. Typically TDS concentrations represent 50-60% of the conductivity measurements.

Conductivity is also affected by water temperature. The warmer the water, the higher the conductivity. Conductivity in streams and rivers is affected by the geology of the area. Streams running through granite areas tend to have lower conductivity due to granite being composed of inert material, materials that do not ionize or dissolve into ionic compounds in water. On the other hand streams that run through areas of limestone or clay soils tend to have higher conductivity readings because of the presence of materials that ionize. Conductivity is useful as a general measure of water quality. A stream tends to have a relatively constant range of conductivity that once established can be used as a baseline. Significant changes either high or low might indicate a source of pollution has been introduced into the water. The pollution source could be a treatment plant which raises the conductivity or an oil spill which would lower the conductivity.

Redox or Oxidation-Reduction Potential (ORP) is a measurement to oxidize materials. Oxidation involves an exchange of electrons between 2 atoms. The atom that loses an electron is oxidized and the one that gains an electron is reduced. ORP sensors measure the electrochemical potential between the solution and a reference electrode. Readings are expressed in millivolts with positive readings indicating increased oxidizing potential and negative readings being increased reduction. The ORP probe is essentially a millivolt meter, measuring the voltage across 2 electrodes with the water in between. ORP values are used much like pH values to determine water quality. While pH readings characterize the state of a system relative to the receiving or donating hydrogen ions (base or acid), ORP readings characterize the relative state of losing or gaining electrons. The conversion of ammonia (NH_3) requires an oxidizing environment to convert it into nitrites (NO_2) and nitrates (NO_3). Ammonia levels as low as 0.002mg/L can be harmful to fish. Generally ORP readings above 400mV are harmful to aquatic life. However, ORP is a non-specific measurement which is a reflection of a combination of effects of all the dissolved materials in the water. Therefore, the measurement of ORP in relatively clean water has only limited utility unless a predominant redox-active material is known to be present.

Water clarity is intuitively used by the public to judge water quality. Secchi depth has been used for many years as a limnological characterization tool for characterizing water clarity. Secchi depth is a measure of light penetration into a waterbody and is a function of the absorption and scattering of light in the water. There are three characteristics of water which affect the penetration of light. The three factors are the color of water, amount of phytoplankton in the water column, and amount of inorganic material in the water column. Secchi depth integrates the combined impacts of all the factors which influence water clarity. Water transparency was measured using a Secchi disk. Secchi disk readings were taken at all lake sites.

2.2 SEDIMENT

In accordance with EM-1110-2-1201, sediment samples should be taken to monitor and assess potential impacts to aquatic and human health. To assess ecological risk, sample values were compared against toxicity information published in the National Oceanic Atmospheric Administrations (NOAA) Screening Quick Reference Tables (SQRT) or similar references for ecological receptors in freshwater sediment. Without a national criteria or other widely applicable numerical tools, NOAA scientists found it difficult to estimate the possible toxicological significance of chemical concentrations in sediment. Therefore, numerical

sediment quality guidelines (SQG's) were developed as informal, interpretive tools. The SQGs were not promulgated as regulatory standards, but rather as informal, non-regulatory guidelines for interpreting chemical data from analyses of sediments. For potential ecological risk from inorganic contaminants, seven metals are typically of "most concern" with regards to fish and wildlife: Arsenic, Copper, Cadmium, Selenium, Mercury, Lead, and Zinc. Avian species are thought to be particularly sensitive to arsenic, but is also considered a carcinogenic, mutagenic, and teratogenic contaminant in a variety of species in elevated doses over time. Avian species are also known to be particularly sensitive to lead in the environment with effects ranging from mortality, reduced growth and reproductive output, behavior changes, blood chemistry alterations, and lesions of major organs. Finally, the embryo stages in fish and avian species are known to be the most sensitive life stage to selenium effecting reproductive success. It is recommended that the next round of sediment samples focus on organochlorines in freshwater sediment to assess potential chronic aquatic impacts (e.g. aldrin, chlordane, endrin, endosulfan, DDT, methoxychlor).

For potential human health risk, there are no known values in Illinois for sediments. While not a direct correlation, sample results were compared against Illinois Tiered Approach to Corrective Action Objectives (TACO) and Non-TACO lowest default target levels for all soil types and exposure pathways for soils.

3.0 SUMMARY OF MONITORING RESULTS

The monitoring program for Rend Lake during Fiscal Year 2011 revealed good water quality when compared to limits established by the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA) for general use, secondary contact, and indigenous aquatic life. Agricultural nutrient runoffs were primary concerns for the lake's water quality. Better land management practices, erosion control and buffering zones are methods used to reduce such contaminants from entering the lake. Normally seasonal change brings on gradual lake stratification during the summer months.

E. coli are sampled at the marinas to ensure that the marina areas are not being contaminated by boats with restroom facilities. The E. coli samples that were taken at the marina were well within the Illinois standard of 235 per 100ml of sample water. In addition, the project office samples the swimming beaches every 2 weeks during the recreation season. E. coli did not exceed the Illinois state standard at the marinas or beaches in 2011.

Total iron and total manganese are sampled above the dam near the bottom of the channel (Ren-2-10) and in the spillway area (Ren-1). As was previously stated living organisms require trace amounts of metals, however excessive amounts can be harmful to the organism. Iron or Manganese did not exceed the Illinois Water Quality Standard at any of the sites during 2011. Iron cycling is a function of oxidation-reduction processes. Elevated levels of iron near the bottom of the lake is not detrimental to the overall lake system unless maintained for a prolong period of time. Iron oxidizes relatively rapidly (minutes to hours); therefore any iron released through the spillway will be oxidized in a short period of time.

Nitrogen and phosphates are sampled at all sites. Nitrates did not exceed the state standard, however they are higher in the upper portion of the lake particularly in the tributaries.

The 2011 phosphate results at the lake sites at one time or another were above the 0.05 mg/L standard. Because phosphorous in water is not considered directly toxic to humans and animals no drinking water standards have been established for phosphorous. However, phosphorous can cause health threats through the stimulation of toxic algal blooms and the resulting oxygen depletion. However, nitrates can pose a threat to human and animal health. The nitrate levels of the Casey Fork (REN-7) tributary is considerably higher compared to the results of the other sites. This increase may be due to the fact that these tributaries are within watersheds consisting mainly of farm land, which may use large quantities of fertilizers. Weather reports did not indicate any rain events 48 hours prior to either June 6 or August 17. Both Nitrate-Nitrogen and Ammonia-Nitrogen decreased as water transverses down the lake. The lake appears to capture and use up nitrogen which reduces nutrient levels released from the lake. This reduction of nutrient levels traveling down stream results in an improvement of water quality. Nitrate in water is toxic at high levels and has been linked to toxic effects of livestock and to blue baby disease (methemoglobinemia) in infants. The Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) for nitrate-N in drinking water is 10mg/L to protect babies 3 to 6 months of age. The Illinois Water Quality Standard for ammonia nitrogen (NH₃-N) is 15mg/L. The increased levels of phosphate in combination with nitrogen and other lake conditions, such as temperature, pH and stagnant lake conditions, can lead to increased algae growth. Eutrophication is currently the most widespread water quality problem in the U.S. and many other countries. Restoration of eutrophic waters requires the reduction of nonpoint inputs of phosphorous and nitrogen. The resulting detrimental effects of algae toxins and oxygen depletion could result in health problems for fish and other aquatic species as well as land animals utilizing the water supply. There were no signs of any of these effects in 2011.

Chlorophyll *a* was sampled at 4 sites, Ren-2, Ren-3, Ren-4, Ren-8 and Ren-15 (duplicate of Ren-4). Chlorophyll *a* is a green pigment found in plants. Chlorophyll *a* concentrations are an indicator of phytoplankton abundance and biomass. They can be an effective measure of trophic status, and used as a measure of water quality. High levels often indicate poor water quality and low levels suggest good conditions. However, elevated levels are not necessarily bad. It is the long term persistence of elevated levels that is the problem. It is natural for chlorophyll *a* levels to fluctuate over time. Chlorophyll *a* tends to be higher after storm events and during the summer months when water temperatures and light levels are elevated. Chlorophyll can reduce the clarity of the water and the amount of oxygen available to other organisms. Illinois does not currently have a standard for chlorophyll. The data indicates a normal increase in chlorophyll levels during the warmer summer months, which is not a concern.

Atrazine and Alachlor are pesticides that were sampled at all sites. These chemicals are herbicides used to control weed growth. Normally pesticides are detected early in the year, in the months of April and May when farmers apply the chemicals. Cyanazine, Metolachlor, Trifluralin and Simazine were also analyzed as part of the pesticide screening. None of these constituents exceeded Illinois drinking water standards. On June 6 the atrazine level did reach 2.6ug/L at Site 5, which is just below the 3.0ug/L state standard for drinking water. These substances can enter water bodies as a result of drift during spraying, surface runoff, and leaching through soil. In order to eliminate pesticide contamination of waters it is important for the public to be educated and institute best manage practices when using these chemicals.

Total Suspended Solids (TSS) and Total Volatile Suspended Solids (TVSS) samples are collected at all sites. Suspended solid levels tend to be lower the closer you get to the dam because sediments drop out of the water column as they travel down the lake. Solids can affect water quality by increasing temperature through the absorption of sunlight by the particles in the water, which also affects the clarity of the water. This can then affect the amount of oxygen in the water. Illinois recommends a TSS standard of 116mg/L for streams and ≥ 12 mg/L for lakes. Literature suggests that NVSS above 15mg/L could highly impair recreational lake use and a NVSS of 3 to 7mg/L might cause slight impairment.

Total Organic Carbon (TOC) is collected at all sites. TOC is an indicator of the organic character of water. The larger the carbon or organic content, the more oxygen is consumed. Illinois does not currently have a standard for TOC.

Temperature and dissolved oxygen levels were taken at all sites. Measurements were taken at 1 meter intervals at the lake sites. During the summer months the lake stratifies and a boundary is formed between the upper warmer water and the lower cooler water. This transition area is known as the thermocline, the area where the temperature drops significantly. Oxygen levels can also change drastically as a function of depth. This area where the oxygen level significantly drops is called the oxycline. The depth of the thermocline and oxycline can have an effect on the aquatic organisms. Occasionally the thermocline and oxycline are at or near the same depth.

pH is taken at all sites and at 1 meter intervals at lake sites. All sites were within the 6 to 9 pH range. Variances in pH can be caused by increased runoff due to rainfall, unusual temperature extremes or erosion from land disturbances.

Conductivity and redox are taken at all sites and at 1 meter intervals at lake sites. Illinois does not currently have a standard for redox, but does have a standard of less than 1,667 uS/cm for conductivity.

Secchi readings were taken at lake sites to measure water transparency. As previously mentioned water clarity is affected by the following three factors: the color of water, amount of phytoplankton in the water column, and amount of inorganic material in the water column. Secchi depth integrates the combined impacts of all the factors which influence water clarity. Secchi disk readings were highest in front of the dam. This normally occurs because sediments drop out of the water column as the water moves down stream toward the dam. This results in improved water quality down stream.

3.2 Sediment Summary

Sediment sampling was not conducted in 2011. Sediment sampling is normally conducted every 5 years if funding is available. Sediment sampling was last conducted in 2007.

4.0 PLANNED 2012 STUDIES

The Rend Lake water quality monitoring will continue in Fiscal Year 2012 on a limited basis. Because of budgetary constraints there will only be 3 sampling events in 2012. A restored number of sampling events would provide the ability to better evaluate water quality trends, to better defend project operations (lake levels, releases, maintenance projects, construction projects, etc.), to better confirm that we meet state water quality standards, and to better confirm that human health and safety are adequately protected. Rend Lake is a source for drinking water for many communities and is a high usage recreational lake. The monitoring of water quality is imperative to assure the water quality is within acceptable limits for the designated usage.

The sampling sites include the following: Site 1 Ren-1 Spillway, Site 2 Ren-02 Lake side in front of Dam, Site 3 Ren-3 Casey Fork Arm near Ina, Site 4 Ren-4 Big Muddy Arm, Site 5 Ren-5 Big Muddy at Hwy 15, Site 7 Ren-7, Casey Fork at Hwy 37, and Site 8 Ren-8, Gun Creek Arm. This combination of sites effectively represents the incoming contaminants and their effects on the lake.

APPENDIX A

DATA

Lab Data Water Samples

Site	Date Collected	Matrix	Result	Qualifier	Unit	Analyte Name
1	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Acetochlor
1	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Acetochlor
1	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Acetochlor
2	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Acetochlor
2	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Acetochlor
2	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Acetochlor
3	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Acetochlor
3	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Acetochlor
3	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Acetochlor
4	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Acetochlor
4	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Acetochlor
4	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Acetochlor
5	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Acetochlor
5	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Acetochlor
5	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Acetochlor
7	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Acetochlor
7	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Acetochlor
7	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Acetochlor
8	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Acetochlor
8	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Acetochlor
8	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Acetochlor
15	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Acetochlor
15	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Acetochlor
15	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Acetochlor
1	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Alachlor
1	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Alachlor
1	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Alachlor
1	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/l	Alachlor
2	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Alachlor
2	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Alachlor
2	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Alachlor
2	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Alachlor
3	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Alachlor

Site	Date Collected	Matrix	Result	Qualifier	Unit	Analyte Name
3	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Alachlor
3	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Alachlor
3	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Alachlor
4	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Alachlor
4	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Alachlor
4	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Alachlor
4	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Alachlor
5	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Alachlor
5	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Alachlor
5	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Alachlor
5	10/25/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Alachlor
7	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Alachlor
7	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Alachlor
7	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Alachlor
7	10/25/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Alachlor
8	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Alachlor
8	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Alachlor
8	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Alachlor
8	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Alachlor
15	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Alachlor
15	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Alachlor
15	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Alachlor
15	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Alachlor
1	4/7/11	W	0.060	J	mg/L	Ammonia-N
1	6/6/11	W	0.130		mg/L	Ammonia-N
1	8/17/11	W	ND		mg/L	Ammonia-N
1	10/24/11	W	0.18		mg/L	Ammonia-N
2	4/7/11	W	0.060	J	mg/L	Ammonia-N
2	6/6/11	W	ND		mg/L	Ammonia-N
2	8/17/11	W	ND		mg/L	Ammonia-N
2	10/24/11	W	0.1		mg/L	Ammonia-N
3	4/7/11	W	ND		mg/L	Ammonia-N
3	6/6/11	W	ND		mg/L	Ammonia-N
3	8/17/11	W	ND		mg/L	Ammonia-N
3	10/24/11	W	0.03	<	mg/L	Ammonia-N
4	4/7/11	W	0.160		mg/L	Ammonia-N
4	6/6/11	W	0.180		mg/L	Ammonia-N

Site	Date Collected	Matrix	Result	Qualifier	Unit	Analyte Name
4	8/17/11	W	ND		mg/L	Ammonia-N
4	10/24/11	W	0.03	<	mg/L	Ammonia-N
5	4/7/11	W	0.200		mg/L	Ammonia-N
5	6/6/11	W	0.210		mg/L	Ammonia-N
5	8/17/11	W	ND		mg/L	Ammonia-N
5	10/25/11	W	0.03	<	mg/L	Ammonia-N
7	4/7/11	W	0.360		mg/L	Ammonia-N
7	6/6/11	W	0.200		mg/L	Ammonia-N
7	8/17/11	W	ND		mg/L	Ammonia-N
7	10/25/11	W	0.16		mg/L	Ammonia-N
8	4/7/11	W	0.230		mg/L	Ammonia-N
8	6/6/11	W	ND		mg/L	Ammonia-N
8	8/17/11	W	ND		mg/L	Ammonia-N
8	10/24/11	W	0.03	<	mg/L	Ammonia-N
15	4/7/11	W	0.090	J	mg/L	Ammonia-N
15	6/6/11	W	ND		mg/L	Ammonia-N
15	8/17/11	W	ND		mg/L	Ammonia-N
15	10/24/11	W	0.03	<	mg/L	Ammonia-N
2-5	4/7/11	W	0.800	J	mg/L	Ammonia-N
2-5	6/6/11	W	ND		mg/L	Ammonia-N
2-5	8/17/11	W	ND		mg/L	Ammonia-N
1	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Atrazine
1	6/6/11	W	0.220	J	ug/L	Atrazine
1	8/17/11	W	0.440	J	ug/L	Atrazine
1	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Atrazine
2	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Atrazine
2	6/6/11	W	0.220	J	ug/L	Atrazine
2	8/17/11	W	0.340	J	ug/L	Atrazine
2	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Atrazine
3	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Atrazine
3	6/6/11	W	0.940	J	ug/L	Atrazine
3	8/17/11	W	0.440	J	ug/L	Atrazine
3	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Atrazine
4	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Atrazine
4	6/6/11	W	0.950	J	ug/L	Atrazine
4	8/17/11	W	0.390	J	ug/L	Atrazine
4	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Atrazine

Site	Date Collected	Matrix	Result	Qualifier	Unit	Analyte Name
5	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Atrazine
5	6/6/11	W	2.600		ug/L	Atrazine
5	8/17/11	W	0.250	J	ug/L	Atrazine
5	10/25/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Atrazine
7	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Atrazine
7	6/6/11	W	0.810	J	ug/L	Atrazine
7	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Atrazine
7	10/25/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Atrazine
8	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Atrazine
8	6/6/11	W	1.600		ug/L	Atrazine
8	8/17/11	W	0.360	J	ug/L	Atrazine
8	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Atrazine
15	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Atrazine
15	6/6/11	W	0.510	J	ug/L	Atrazine
15	8/17/11	W	0.480	J	ug/L	Atrazine
15	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Atrazine
1	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Bromacil
1	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Bromacil
1	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Bromacil
2	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Bromacil
2	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Bromacil
2	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Bromacil
3	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Bromacil
3	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Bromacil
3	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Bromacil
4	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Bromacil
4	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Bromacil
4	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Bromacil
5	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Bromacil
5	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Bromacil
5	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Bromacil
7	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Bromacil
7	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Bromacil
7	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Bromacil
8	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Bromacil
8	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Bromacil
8	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Bromacil

Site	Date Collected	Matrix	Result	Qualifier	Unit	Analyte Name
15	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Bromacil
15	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Bromacil
15	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Bromacil
1	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Butachlor
1	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Butachlor
1	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Butachlor
2	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Butachlor
2	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Butachlor
2	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Butachlor
3	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Butachlor
3	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Butachlor
3	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Butachlor
4	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Butachlor
4	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Butachlor
4	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Butachlor
5	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Butachlor
5	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Butachlor
5	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Butachlor
7	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Butachlor
7	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Butachlor
7	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Butachlor
8	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Butachlor
8	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Butachlor
8	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Butachlor
15	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Butachlor
15	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Butachlor
15	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Butachlor
1	10/24/11	W	12.7		mg/m3	Chlorophyll a
2	4/7/11	W	25.632		mg/m3	Chlorophyll a
2	6/6/11	W	12.816		mg/m3	Chlorophyll a
2	8/17/11	W	44.856		mg/m3	Chlorophyll a
2	10/24/11	W	25.6		mg/m3	Chlorophyll a
3	4/7/11	W	29.904		mg/m3	Chlorophyll a
3	6/6/11	W	12.816		mg/m3	Chlorophyll a
3	8/17/11	W	57.672		mg/m3	Chlorophyll a
3	10/24/11	W	29		mg/m3	Chlorophyll a
4	4/7/11	W	42.720		mg/m3	Chlorophyll a

Site	Date Collected	Matrix	Result	Qualifier	Unit	Analyte Name
4	6/6/11	W	12.816		mg/m3	Chlorophyll a
4	8/17/11	W	46.992		mg/m3	Chlorophyll a
4	10/24/11	W	29.2		mg/m3	Chlorophyll a
5	10/25/11	W	3.2		mg/m3	Chlorophyll a
7	10/25/11	W	2	<	mg/m3	Chlorophyll a
8	4/7/11	W	59.808		mg/m3	Chlorophyll a
8	6/6/11	W	23.496		mg/m3	Chlorophyll a
8	8/17/11	W	72.624		mg/m3	Chlorophyll a
8	10/24/11	W	25.3		mg/m3	Chlorophyll a
15	4/7/11	W	36.312		mg/m3	Chlorophyll a
15	6/6/11	W	14.950		mg/m3	Chlorophyll a
15	8/17/11	W	55.536		mg/m3	Chlorophyll a
15	10/24/11	W	31.7		mg/m3	Chlorophyll a
1	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Chloropyrifos
2	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Chloropyrifos
3	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Chloropyrifos
4	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Chloropyrifos
5	10/25/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Chloropyrifos
7	10/25/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Chloropyrifos
8	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Chloropyrifos
15	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Chloropyrifos
1	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Chlorpyrifos
1	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Chlorpyrifos
1	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Chlorpyrifos
2	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Chlorpyrifos
2	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Chlorpyrifos
2	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Chlorpyrifos
3	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Chlorpyrifos
3	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Chlorpyrifos
3	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Chlorpyrifos
4	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Chlorpyrifos
4	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Chlorpyrifos
4	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Chlorpyrifos
5	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Chlorpyrifos
5	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Chlorpyrifos
5	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Chlorpyrifos
7	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Chlorpyrifos

Site	Date Collected	Matrix	Result	Qualifier	Unit	Analyte Name
7	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Chlorpyrifos
7	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Chlorpyrifos
8	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Chlorpyrifos
8	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Chlorpyrifos
8	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Chlorpyrifos
15	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Chlorpyrifos
15	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Chlorpyrifos
15	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Chlorpyrifos
1	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Cyanizine
1	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Cyanizine
1	8/17/11	W	1.200		ug/L	Cyanizine
1	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Cyanizine
2	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Cyanizine
2	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Cyanizine
2	8/17/11	W	0.520	J	ug/L	Cyanizine
2	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Cyanizine
3	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Cyanizine
3	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Cyanizine
3	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Cyanizine
3	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Cyanizine
4	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Cyanizine
4	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Cyanizine
4	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Cyanizine
4	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Cyanizine
5	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Cyanizine
5	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Cyanizine
5	8/17/11	W	0.430	J	ug/L	Cyanizine
5	10/25/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Cyanizine
7	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Cyanizine
7	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Cyanizine
7	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Cyanizine
7	10/25/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Cyanizine
8	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Cyanizine
8	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Cyanizine
8	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Cyanizine
8	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Cyanizine
15	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Cyanizine

Site	Date Collected	Matrix	Result	Qualifier	Unit	Analyte Name
15	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Cyanizine
15	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Cyanizine
15	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Cyanizine
1	4/7/11	W	0.560	1	mg/L	Iron, Total
1	6/6/11	W	0.330		mg/L	Iron, Total
1	8/17/11	W	0.360		mg/L	Iron, Total
1	10/24/11	W	0.28		mg/L	Iron, Total
2-5	4/7/11	W	0.240		mg/L	Iron, Total
2-5	6/6/11	W	0.240		mg/L	Iron, Total
2-5	8/17/11	W	0.099		mg/L	Iron, Total
1	4/7/11	W	0.320		mg/L	Manganese, Total
1	6/6/11	W	0.160		mg/L	Manganese, Total
1	8/17/11	W	0.260		mg/L	Manganese, Total
1	10/24/11	W	0.21		mg/L	Manganese, Total
2-5	4/7/11	W	0.180		mg/L	Manganese, Total
2-5	6/6/11	W	0.150		mg/L	Manganese, Total
2-5	8/17/11	W	0.750		mg/L	Manganese, Total
1	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metolachlor
1	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metolachlor
1	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metolachlor
1	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Metolachlor
2	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metolachlor
2	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metolachlor
2	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metolachlor
2	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Metolachlor
3	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metolachlor
3	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metolachlor
3	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metolachlor
3	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Metolachlor
4	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metolachlor
4	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metolachlor
4	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metolachlor
4	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Metolachlor
5	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metolachlor
5	6/6/11	W	0.330	J	ug/L	Metolachlor
5	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metolachlor
5	10/25/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Metolachlor

Site	Date Collected	Matrix	Result	Qualifier	Unit	Analyte Name
7	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metolachlor
7	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metolachlor
7	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metolachlor
7	10/25/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Metolachlor
8	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metolachlor
8	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metolachlor
8	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metolachlor
8	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Metolachlor
15	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metolachlor
15	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metolachlor
15	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metolachlor
15	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Metolachlor
1	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metribuzin
1	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metribuzin
1	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metribuzin
1	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Metribuzin
2	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metribuzin
2	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metribuzin
2	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metribuzin
2	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Metribuzin
3	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metribuzin
3	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metribuzin
3	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metribuzin
3	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Metribuzin
4	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metribuzin
4	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metribuzin
4	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metribuzin
4	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Metribuzin
5	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metribuzin
5	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metribuzin
5	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metribuzin
5	10/25/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Metribuzin
7	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metribuzin
7	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metribuzin
7	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metribuzin
7	10/25/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Metribuzin
8	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metribuzin

Site	Date Collected	Matrix	Result	Qualifier	Unit	Analyte Name
8	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metribuzin
8	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metribuzin
8	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Metribuzin
15	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metribuzin
15	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metribuzin
15	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Metribuzin
15	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Metribuzin
1	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Molinate
1	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Molinate
1	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Molinate
2	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Molinate
2	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Molinate
2	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Molinate
3	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Molinate
3	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Molinate
3	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Molinate
4	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Molinate
4	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Molinate
4	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Molinate
5	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Molinate
5	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Molinate
5	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Molinate
7	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Molinate
7	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Molinate
7	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Molinate
8	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Molinate
8	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Molinate
8	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Molinate
15	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Molinate
15	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Molinate
15	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Molinate
1	4/7/11	W	ND		mg/L	Nitrate-N
1	6/6/11	W	ND		mg/L	Nitrate-N
1	8/17/11	W	ND		mg/L	Nitrate-N
1	10/24/11	W	0.1		mg/L	Nitrate-N
2	4/7/11	W	ND		mg/L	Nitrate-N
2	6/6/11	W	ND		mg/L	Nitrate-N

Site	Date Collected	Matrix	Result	Qualifier	Unit	Analyte Name
2	8/17/11	W	ND		mg/L	Nitrate-N
2	10/24/11	W	0.099		mg/L	Nitrate-N
3	4/7/11	W	ND		mg/L	Nitrate-N
3	6/6/11	W	ND		mg/L	Nitrate-N
3	8/17/11	W	ND		mg/L	Nitrate-N
3	10/24/11	W	0.01	<	mg/L	Nitrate-N
4	4/7/11	W	ND		mg/L	Nitrate-N
4	6/6/11	W	ND		mg/L	Nitrate-N
4	8/17/11	W	ND		mg/L	Nitrate-N
4	10/24/11	W	0.01	<	mg/L	Nitrate-N
5	4/7/11	W	0.280		mg/L	Nitrate-N
5	6/6/11	W	0.320		mg/L	Nitrate-N
5	8/17/11	W	0.120	J	mg/L	Nitrate-N
5	10/25/11	W	0.029		mg/L	Nitrate-N
7	4/7/11	W	0.420		mg/L	Nitrate-N
7	6/6/11	W	1.300		mg/L	Nitrate-N
7	8/17/11	W	0.940		mg/L	Nitrate-N
7	10/25/11	W	0.45		mg/L	Nitrate-N
8	4/7/11	W	ND		mg/L	Nitrate-N
8	6/6/11	W	ND		mg/L	Nitrate-N
8	8/17/11	W	ND		mg/L	Nitrate-N
8	10/24/11	W	0.01	<	mg/L	Nitrate-N
15	4/7/11	W	ND		mg/L	Nitrate-N
15	6/6/11	W	ND		mg/L	Nitrate-N
15	8/17/11	W	ND		mg/L	Nitrate-N
15	10/24/11	W	0.01	<	mg/L	Nitrate-N
2-5	4/7/11	W	ND		mg/L	Nitrate-N
2-5	6/6/11	W	ND		mg/L	Nitrate-N
2-5	8/17/11	W	ND		mg/L	Nitrate-N
1	4/7/11	W	0.006	J	mg/L	Orthophosphate
1	6/6/11	W	0.006	J	mg/L	Orthophosphate
1	8/17/11	W	0.006	J	mg/L	Orthophosphate
1	10/24/11	W	0.088		mg/L	Orthophosphate
2	4/7/11	W	0.003	J	mg/L	Orthophosphate
2	6/6/11	W	0.005	J	mg/L	Orthophosphate
2	8/17/11	W	0.008	J	mg/L	Orthophosphate
2	10/24/11	W	0.047		mg/L	Orthophosphate

Site	Date Collected	Matrix	Result	Qualifier	Unit	Analyte Name
3	4/7/11	W	0.008	J	mg/L	Orthophosphate
3	6/6/11	W	0.001	J	mg/L	Orthophosphate
3	8/17/11	W	0.014	J	mg/L	Orthophosphate
3	10/24/11	W	0.075		mg/L	Orthophosphate
4	4/7/11	W	0.005	J	mg/L	Orthophosphate
4	6/6/11	W	0.003	J	mg/L	Orthophosphate
4	8/17/11	W	0.003	J	mg/L	Orthophosphate
4	10/24/11	W	0.024		mg/L	Orthophosphate
5	4/7/11	W	0.006	J	mg/L	Orthophosphate
5	6/6/11	W	0.058		mg/L	Orthophosphate
5	8/17/11	W	0.011	J	mg/L	Orthophosphate
5	10/25/11	W	0.093		mg/L	Orthophosphate
7	4/7/11	W	0.001	J	mg/L	Orthophosphate
7	6/6/11	W	0.038		mg/L	Orthophosphate
7	8/17/11	W	0.009	J	mg/L	Orthophosphate
7	10/25/11	W	0.1		mg/L	Orthophosphate
8	4/7/11	W	0.005	J	mg/L	Orthophosphate
8	6/6/11	W	0.005	J	mg/L	Orthophosphate
8	8/17/11	W	ND		mg/L	Orthophosphate
8	10/24/11	W	0.013		mg/L	Orthophosphate
15	4/7/11	W	0.008	J	mg/L	Orthophosphate
15	6/6/11	W	0.005	J	mg/L	Orthophosphate
15	8/17/11	W	0.009	J	mg/L	Orthophosphate
15	10/24/11	W	0.034		mg/L	Orthophosphate
2-5	4/7/11	W	0.005	J	mg/L	Orthophosphate
2-5	6/6/11	W	0.046		mg/L	Orthophosphate
2-5	8/17/11	W	0.016	J	mg/L	Orthophosphate
1	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Pendimethalin
2	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Pendimethalin
3	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Pendimethalin
4	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Pendimethalin
5	10/25/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Pendimethalin
7	10/25/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Pendimethalin
8	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Pendimethalin
15	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Pendimethalin
1	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Pendimethalin(Prowl)
1	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Pendimethalin(Prowl)

Site	Date Collected	Matrix	Result	Qualifier	Unit	Analyte Name
1	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Pendimethalin(Prowl)
2	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Pendimethalin(Prowl)
2	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Pendimethalin(Prowl)
2	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Pendimethalin(Prowl)
3	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Pendimethalin(Prowl)
3	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Pendimethalin(Prowl)
3	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Pendimethalin(Prowl)
4	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Pendimethalin(Prowl)
4	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Pendimethalin(Prowl)
4	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Pendimethalin(Prowl)
5	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Pendimethalin(Prowl)
5	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Pendimethalin(Prowl)
5	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Pendimethalin(Prowl)
7	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Pendimethalin(Prowl)
7	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Pendimethalin(Prowl)
7	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Pendimethalin(Prowl)
8	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Pendimethalin(Prowl)
8	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Pendimethalin(Prowl)
8	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Pendimethalin(Prowl)
15	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Pendimethalin(Prowl)
15	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Pendimethalin(Prowl)
15	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Pendimethalin(Prowl)
1	10/24/11	W	17.1		mg/m3	Pheophytin
2	4/7/11	W	1.000	<	mg/m3	Pheophytin
2	6/6/11	W	1.000	<	mg/m3	Pheophytin
2	8/17/11	W	1.000	<	mg/m3	Pheophytin
2	10/24/11	W	24.2		mg/m3	Pheophytin
3	4/7/11	W	1.000	<	mg/m3	Pheophytin
3	6/6/11	W	1.000	<	mg/m3	Pheophytin
3	8/17/11	W	1.000	<	mg/m3	Pheophytin
3	10/24/11	W	14.9		mg/m3	Pheophytin
4	4/7/11	W	1.000	<	mg/m3	Pheophytin
4	6/6/11	W	1.000	<	mg/m3	Pheophytin
4	8/17/11	W	1.000	<	mg/m3	Pheophytin
4	10/24/11	W	14		mg/m3	Pheophytin
5	10/25/11	W	2	<	mg/m3	Pheophytin
7	10/25/11	W	3.2		mg/m3	Pheophytin

Site	Date Collected	Matrix	Result	Qualifier	Unit	Analyte Name
8	4/7/11	W	1.000	<	mg/m3	Pheophytin
8	6/6/11	W	1.000	<	mg/m3	Pheophytin
8	8/17/11	W	1.000	<	mg/m3	Pheophytin
8	10/24/11	W	23		mg/m3	Pheophytin
15	4/7/11	W	1.000	<	mg/m3	Pheophytin
15	6/6/11	W	1.000	<	mg/m3	Pheophytin
15	8/17/11	W	1.000	<	mg/m3	Pheophytin
15	10/24/11	W	14.2		mg/m3	Pheophytin
1	4/7/11	W	ND		mg/L	Phosphorus, Total
1	6/6/11	W	0.074	J	mg/L	Phosphorus, Total
1	8/17/11	W	0.071	J	mg/L	Phosphorus, Total
1	10/24/11	W	0.13		mg/L	Phosphorus, Total
2	4/7/11	W	ND		mg/L	Phosphorus, Total
2	6/6/11	W	0.045	J	mg/L	Phosphorus, Total
2	8/17/11	W	0.081	J	mg/L	Phosphorus, Total
2	10/24/11	W	0.16		mg/L	Phosphorus, Total
3	4/7/11	W	ND		mg/L	Phosphorus, Total
3	6/6/11	W	0.041	J	mg/L	Phosphorus, Total
3	8/17/11	W	ND		mg/L	Phosphorus, Total
3	10/24/11	W	0.16		mg/L	Phosphorus, Total
4	4/7/11	W	ND		mg/L	Phosphorus, Total
4	6/6/11	W	0.086	J	mg/L	Phosphorus, Total
4	8/17/11	W	0.034	J	mg/L	Phosphorus, Total
4	10/24/11	W	0.15		mg/L	Phosphorus, Total
5	4/7/11	W	0.042	J	mg/L	Phosphorus, Total
5	6/6/11	W	0.068	J	mg/L	Phosphorus, Total
5	8/17/11	W	0.038	J	mg/L	Phosphorus, Total
5	10/25/11	W	0.16		mg/L	Phosphorus, Total
7	4/7/11	W	ND		mg/L	Phosphorus, Total
7	6/6/11	W	0.083	J	mg/L	Phosphorus, Total
7	8/17/11	W	0.096	J	mg/L	Phosphorus, Total
7	10/25/11	W	0.22		mg/L	Phosphorus, Total
8	4/7/11	W	ND		mg/L	Phosphorus, Total
8	6/6/11	W	ND		mg/L	Phosphorus, Total
8	8/17/11	W	ND		mg/L	Phosphorus, Total
8	10/24/11	W	0.2		mg/L	Phosphorus, Total
15	4/7/11	W	ND		mg/L	Phosphorus, Total

Site	Date Collected	Matrix	Result	Qualifier	Unit	Analyte Name
15	6/6/11	W	ND		mg/L	Phosphorus, Total
15	8/17/11	W	ND		mg/L	Phosphorus, Total
15	10/24/11	W	0.14		mg/L	Phosphorus, Total
2-5	4/7/11	W	ND		mg/L	Phosphorus, Total
2-5	6/6/11	W	0.062	J	mg/L	Phosphorus, Total
2-5	8/17/11	W	0.075	J	mg/L	Phosphorus, Total
1	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Prometon
1	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Prometon
1	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Prometon
2	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Prometon
2	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Prometon
2	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Prometon
3	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Prometon
3	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Prometon
3	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Prometon
4	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Prometon
4	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Prometon
4	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Prometon
5	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Prometon
5	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Prometon
5	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Prometon
7	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Prometon
7	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Prometon
7	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Prometon
8	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Prometon
8	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Prometon
8	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Prometon
15	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Prometon
15	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Prometon
15	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Prometon
1	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Propachlor
1	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Propachlor
1	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Propachlor
2	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Propachlor
2	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Propachlor
2	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Propachlor
3	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Propachlor

Site	Date Collected	Matrix	Result	Qualifier	Unit	Analyte Name
3	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Propachlor
3	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Propachlor
4	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Propachlor
4	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Propachlor
4	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Propachlor
5	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Propachlor
5	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Propachlor
5	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Propachlor
7	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Propachlor
7	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Propachlor
7	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Propachlor
8	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Propachlor
8	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Propachlor
8	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Propachlor
15	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Propachlor
15	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Propachlor
15	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Propachlor
1	4/7/11	W	0.600	J	ug/L	Simazine
1	6/6/11	W	1.100		ug/L	Simazine
1	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Simazine
2	4/7/11	W	0.410	J	ug/L	Simazine
2	6/6/11	W	1.100		ug/L	Simazine
2	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Simazine
3	4/7/11	W	0.380	J	ug/L	Simazine
3	6/6/11	W	1.000	J	ug/L	Simazine
3	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Simazine
4	4/7/11	W	0.650	J	ug/L	Simazine
4	6/6/11	W	0.980	J	ug/L	Simazine
4	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Simazine
5	4/7/11	W	0.440	J	ug/L	Simazine
5	6/6/11	W	0.810	J	ug/L	Simazine
5	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Simazine
7	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Simazine
7	6/6/11	W	0.870	J	ug/L	Simazine
7	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Simazine
8	4/7/11	W	1.100		ug/L	Simazine
8	6/6/11	W	1.200		ug/L	Simazine

Site	Date Collected	Matrix	Result	Qualifier	Unit	Analyte Name
8	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Simazine
15	4/7/11	W	0.690	J	ug/L	Simazine
15	6/6/11	W	0.940	J	ug/L	Simazine
15	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Simazine
1	4/7/11	W	7.500		mg/L	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)
1	6/6/11	W	7.300		mg/L	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)
1	8/17/11	W	13.000		mg/L	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)
1	10/24/11	W	5		mg/L	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)
2	4/7/11	W	6.700		mg/L	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)
2	6/6/11	W	6.200		mg/L	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)
2	8/17/11	W	6.600		mg/L	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)
2	10/24/11	W	5.1		mg/L	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)
3	4/7/11	W	6.900		mg/L	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)
3	6/6/11	W	6.000		mg/L	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)
3	8/17/11	W	7.400		mg/L	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)
3	10/24/11	W	5.5		mg/L	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)
4	4/7/11	W	7.400		mg/L	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)
4	6/6/11	W	6.300		mg/L	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)
4	8/17/11	W	8.300		mg/L	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)
4	10/24/11	W	5.2		mg/L	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)
5	4/7/11	W	10.900		mg/L	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)
5	6/6/11	W	7.000		mg/L	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)
5	8/17/11	W	8.500		mg/L	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)
5	10/25/11	W	12.7		mg/L	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)
7	4/7/11	W	7.500		mg/L	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)
7	6/6/11	W	6.300		mg/L	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)
7	8/17/11	W	8.400		mg/L	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)
7	10/25/11	W	7.9		mg/L	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)
8	4/7/11	W	8.100		mg/L	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)
8	6/6/11	W	6.600		mg/L	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)
8	8/17/11	W	8.300		mg/L	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)
8	10/24/11	W	6.5		mg/L	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)
15	4/7/11	W	8.600		mg/L	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)
15	6/6/11	W	6.200		mg/L	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)
15	8/17/11	W	8.600		mg/L	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)
15	10/24/11	W	5.3		mg/L	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)
2-5	4/7/11	W	6.500		mg/L	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)

Site	Date Collected	Matrix	Result	Qualifier	Unit	Analyte Name
2-5	6/6/11	W	5.900		mg/L	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)
2-5	8/17/11	W	6.300		mg/L	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)
1	4/7/11	W	26.000		mg/L	Total Suspended Solids
1	6/6/11	W	18.000		mg/L	Total Suspended Solids
1	8/17/11	W	19.000		mg/L	Total Suspended Solids
1	10/24/11	W	8.2		mg/L	Total Suspended Solids
2	4/7/11	W	17.000		mg/L	Total Suspended Solids
2	6/6/11	W	9.000		mg/L	Total Suspended Solids
2	8/17/11	W	12.000		mg/L	Total Suspended Solids
2	10/24/11	W	10.7		mg/L	Total Suspended Solids
3	4/7/11	W	44.000		mg/L	Total Suspended Solids
3	6/6/11	W	10.000		mg/L	Total Suspended Solids
3	8/17/11	W	12.000		mg/L	Total Suspended Solids
3	10/24/11	W	20.8		mg/L	Total Suspended Solids
4	4/7/11	W	28.000		mg/L	Total Suspended Solids
4	6/6/11	W	19.000		mg/L	Total Suspended Solids
4	8/17/11	W	27.000		mg/L	Total Suspended Solids
4	10/24/11	W	12		mg/L	Total Suspended Solids
5	4/7/11	W	67.000		mg/L	Total Suspended Solids
5	6/6/11	W	18.000		mg/L	Total Suspended Solids
5	8/17/11	W	17.000		mg/L	Total Suspended Solids
5	10/25/11	W	4.2		mg/L	Total Suspended Solids
7	4/7/11	W	20.000		mg/L	Total Suspended Solids
7	6/6/11	W	32.000		mg/L	Total Suspended Solids
7	8/17/11	W	13.000		mg/L	Total Suspended Solids
7	10/25/11	W	14.8		mg/L	Total Suspended Solids
8	4/7/11	W	23.000		mg/L	Total Suspended Solids
8	6/6/11	W	12.000		mg/L	Total Suspended Solids
8	8/17/11	W	19.000		mg/L	Total Suspended Solids
8	10/24/11	W	21		mg/L	Total Suspended Solids
15	4/7/11	W	24.000		mg/L	Total Suspended Solids
15	6/6/11	W	9.000		mg/L	Total Suspended Solids
15	8/17/11	W	19.000		mg/L	Total Suspended Solids
15	10/24/11	W	13.6		mg/L	Total Suspended Solids
2-5	4/7/11	W	16.000		mg/L	Total Suspended Solids
2-5	6/6/11	W	8.000		mg/L	Total Suspended Solids
2-5	8/17/11	W	15.000		mg/L	Total Suspended Solids


Site	Date Collected	Matrix	Result	Qualifier	Unit	Analyte Name
1	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Trifluralin
1	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Trifluralin
1	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Trifluralin
1	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Trifluralin
2	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Trifluralin
2	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Trifluralin
2	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Trifluralin
2	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Trifluralin
3	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Trifluralin
3	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Trifluralin
3	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Trifluralin
3	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Trifluralin
4	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Trifluralin
4	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Trifluralin
4	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Trifluralin
4	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Trifluralin
5	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Trifluralin
5	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Trifluralin
5	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Trifluralin
5	10/25/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Trifluralin
7	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Trifluralin
7	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Trifluralin
7	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Trifluralin
7	10/25/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Trifluralin
8	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Trifluralin
8	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Trifluralin
8	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Trifluralin
8	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Trifluralin
15	4/7/11	W	ND		ug/L	Trifluralin
15	6/6/11	W	ND		ug/L	Trifluralin
15	8/17/11	W	ND		ug/L	Trifluralin
15	10/24/11	W	1	<	ug/L	Trifluralin
1	4/7/11	W	ND		mg/L	Volatile Suspended Solids
1	6/6/11	W	7.000		mg/L	Volatile Suspended Solids
1	8/17/11	W	9.000		mg/L	Volatile Suspended Solids
1	10/24/11	W	3.2		mg/L	Volatile Suspended Solids
2	4/7/11	W	10.000		mg/L	Volatile Suspended Solids

Site	Date Collected	Matrix	Result	Qualifier	Unit	Analyte Name
2	6/6/11	W	ND		mg/L	Volatile Suspended Solids
2	8/17/11	W	5.000		mg/L	Volatile Suspended Solids
2	10/24/11	W	5		mg/L	Volatile Suspended Solids
3	4/7/11	W	11.000		mg/L	Volatile Suspended Solids
3	6/6/11	W	ND		mg/L	Volatile Suspended Solids
3	8/17/11	W	10.000		mg/L	Volatile Suspended Solids
3	10/24/11	W	7.2		mg/L	Volatile Suspended Solids
4	4/7/11	W	12.000		mg/L	Volatile Suspended Solids
4	6/6/11	W	ND		mg/L	Volatile Suspended Solids
4	8/17/11	W	16.000		mg/L	Volatile Suspended Solids
4	10/24/11	W	6.4		mg/L	Volatile Suspended Solids
5	4/7/11	W	8.000		mg/L	Volatile Suspended Solids
5	6/6/11	W	ND		mg/L	Volatile Suspended Solids
5	8/17/11	W	5.000		mg/L	Volatile Suspended Solids
5	10/25/11	W	2.2		mg/L	Volatile Suspended Solids
7	4/7/11	W	7.000		mg/L	Volatile Suspended Solids
7	6/6/11	W	ND		mg/L	Volatile Suspended Solids
7	8/17/11	W	ND		mg/L	Volatile Suspended Solids
7	10/25/11	W	4	<	mg/L	Volatile Suspended Solids
8	4/7/11	W	6.000		mg/L	Volatile Suspended Solids
8	6/6/11	W	8.000		mg/L	Volatile Suspended Solids
8	8/17/11	W	13.000		mg/L	Volatile Suspended Solids
8	10/24/11	W	9		mg/L	Volatile Suspended Solids
15	4/7/11	W	11.000		mg/L	Volatile Suspended Solids
15	6/6/11	W	5.000		mg/L	Volatile Suspended Solids
15	8/17/11	W	10.000		mg/L	Volatile Suspended Solids
15	10/24/11	W	5.6		mg/L	Volatile Suspended Solids
2-5	4/7/11	W	7.000		mg/L	Volatile Suspended Solids
2-5	6/6/11	W	ND		mg/L	Volatile Suspended Solids
2-5	8/17/11	W	8.000		mg/L	Volatile Suspended Solids


Site	Date Collected	Matrix	Result	Qualifier	Unit	Analyte Name
DW	6/6/2011	w	10	<	MPN/100ml	E. Coli
DW	8/17/2011	w	10	<	MPN/100ml	E. Coli

APPENDIX B


LAB DATA GRAPHS




Total Iron & Manganese




Ammonia Nitrogen & Nitrate-Nitrogen at Tributaries




Lake Ammonia Nitrogen & Nitrate-Nitrogen




Tributary Orthophosphate & Total Phosphate




Lake Orthophosphate & Total Phosphate




Chlorophyll & Pheophytin




Pesticides



Solids



Total Organic Carbon





APPENDIX C

FIELD DATA & GRAPHS


Site	Date	Depth	H2OTemp (oC)	Redox	Cond	D.O. %	D.O.(mg/L)	pH	Time	Secchi (in.)
1	4/7/2011	0.6	13.90	391	353.0	99.8	10.10	8.28	1255	
1	6/6/2011	2.2	25.89	386	234.3	94.3	7.69	7.52	1046	
1	8/17/2011	0.7	27.35	334	209.3	84.7	6.73	7.9	1000	
1	8/17/2011	0.0	27.38	334	209.5	84.9	6.73	7.9		
2	4/7/2011	1.0	12.14	458	347.0	104.6	11.10	8.24	1045	14
2	4/7/2011	2.0	12.06	443	347.0	101.8	10.81	8.19		
2	4/7/2011	3.0	12.02	443	348.0	99.0	10.50	8.14		
2	4/7/2011	4.0	11.99	442	348.0	96.8	10.30	8.11		
2	4/7/2011	5.0	11.92	443	348.0	96.4	10.30	8.08		
2	4/7/2011	6.0	11.91	442	348.0	95.1	10.18	8.06		
2	4/7/2011	7.0	11.90	442	348.0	94.7	10.13	8.04		
2	6/6/2011	0.9	27.11	392	232.9	113.8	9.08	8.2	1134	26
2	6/6/2011	2.0	25.85	412	235.8	90.1	7.30	7.52	1135	
2	6/6/2011	3.0	24.27	424	234.5	65.2	5.35	7.17	1136	
2	6/6/2011	4.0	23.37	431	235.8	52.2	4.50	7	1137	
2	6/6/2011	5.0	22.42	436	237.4	44.1	3.79	6.9	1138	
2	6/6/2011	6.0	21.70	441	242.1	22.7	2.01	6.8	1140	
2	6/6/2011	7.0	21.44	443	243.8	17.7	1.47	6.75	1141	
2	6/6/2011	8.0	21.18	357	247.4	8.2	0.73	6.74	1143	
2	8/17/2011	0.0	28.55	313	208.4	77.1	5.98	8.33	1043	25
2	8/17/2011	1.0	27.28	319	207.4	64.3	5.11	8.09		
2	8/17/2011	2.0	27.20	329	208.0	54.9	4.36	7.93		
2	8/17/2011	3.0	27.18	334	207.9	51.9	4.13	7.85		
2	8/17/2011	4.0	27.17	338	207.8	46.8	3.73	7.76		
2	8/17/2011	5.0	27.07	348	212.1	5.0	0.38	7.42		
2	8/17/2011	6.0	27.02	350	209.0	12.9	1.06	7.37		
2	8/17/2011	7.0	26.98	349	213.8	3.4	0.27	7.35		
2	8/17/2011	7.7	26.86	207	219.6	1.8	0.15	7.41	1052	
3	4/7/2011	1.0	12.97	395	338.0	100.1	10.43	8.2	1133	9
3	4/7/2011	2.0	12.83	396	338.0	97.2	10.16	8.1		
3	4/7/2011	3.0	12.78	396	338.0	94.2	9.86	7.98		
3	6/6/2011	0.1	29.51	374	204.9	107.6	8.26	7.75	1230	16
3	6/6/2011	1.0	28.62	382	204.4	102.5	8.01	7.65	1231	
3	6/6/2011	2.0	27.81	394	205.7	81.5	6.34	7.28	1232	
3	6/6/2011	3.0	25.34	407	206.0	36.5	2.94	6.96	1232	
3	6/6/2011	3.8	23.96	185	208.7	18.3	1.53	6.94	1233	
4	4/7/2011	1.0	12.76	381	326.0	104.3	10.91	8.4	1105	13
4	4/7/2011	2.0	12.60	380	329.0	100.8	10.61	8.3		

Site	Date	Depth	H2OTemp (oC)	Redox	Cond	D.O.%	D.O.(mg/L)	pH	Time	Secchi (in.)
4	4/7/2011	3.0	12.50	382	329.0	98.3	10.30	8.2		
4	4/7/2011	4.0	12.49	379	331.0	93.7	9.88	8.05		
4	6/6/2011	0.1	29.45	358	214.3	116.5	8.97	8.01	1246	18
4	6/6/2011	1.0	27.46	372	214.9	101.0	7.98	7.61	1246	
4	6/6/2011	2.0	26.93	384	215.1	85.5	6.84	7.34	1247	
4	6/6/2011	3.0	24.83	398	217.1	29.9	2.45	6.91	1248	
4	6/6/2011	4.0	24.67	137	217.4	6.2	0.47	6.99	1249	
4	8/17/2011	0.0	27.73	263	210.3	132.8	10.50	9.07	1206	14
4	8/17/2011	1.0	26.96	263	209.9	129.3	10.33	9.05		
4	8/17/2011	2.0	26.07	278	209.2	98.9	8.04	8.56		
4	8/17/2011	2.4	25.92	206	210.2	85.6	6.99	8.17	1210	
5	4/7/2011	0.4	12.35	429	530.0	81.1	8.46	7.65	910	
5	6/6/2011	0.6	24.71	403	621.4	49.2	4.07	7.22	925	
5	8/17/2011	0.0	23.00	307	577.1	63.8	5.47	7.51	915	
7	4/7/2011	0.3	12.90	457	548.0	83.1	8.67	7.55	940	
7	6/6/2011	0.5	24.79	262	633.0	59.5	4.79	7.27	951	
7	8/17/2011	0.5	25.23	321	736.8	72.5	5.97	7.59	1325	
8	4/7/2011	1.0	14.82	371	273.0	124.7	12.71	7.6	1200	13
8	4/7/2011	2.0	14.14	371	265.0	122.4	12.42	9.06		
8	4/7/2011	3.0	13.39	377	262.0	113.3	11.61	8.71		
8	4/7/2011	4.0	13.35	381	267.0	99.1	10.21	8.5		
8	4/7/2011	5.0	13.23	380	270.0	95.8	9.90	8.42		
8	6/6/2011	0.1	29.60	395	198.5	118.4	9.15	8.09	1201	20
8	6/6/2011	1.0	29.35	397	199.0	119.6	9.19	8.08	1202	
8	6/6/2011	2.0	29.07	406	197.3	108.7	8.38	7.8	1203	
8	6/6/2011	3.0	26.63	424	193.7	31.5	2.15	7.09	1204	
8	6/6/2011	4.0	24.33	230	212.9	5.6	0.44	6.84	1204	
8	6/6/2011	5.0	24.05	196	214.2	3.1	0.26	6.81	1205	
8	6/6/2011	5.2	24.07	191	215.4	2.4	0.21	6.81	1206	







Site 3 Temperature & D.O.




Site 4 Temperature & D.O.




Site 8 Temperature & D.O.




Conductivity & Redox at Tributaries





Site 2 Conductivity & Redox





Site 3 Conductivity & Redox























APPENDIX D

BEACH DATA & GRAPHS

E. Coli Beach Data

Site	Date Collected	Matrix	Result	Qualifier	Unit	Analyte Name	Location
Dale Miller	5/23/2011	w	48.7		MPN/100ml	E. coli	Deep
Dale Miller	6/6/2011	w	3		MPN/100ml	E. coli	Deep
Dale Miller	6/20/2011	w	48.7		MPN/100ml	E. coli	Deep
Dale Miller	7/5/2011	w	10.8		MPN/100ml	E. coli	Deep
Dale Miller	7/18/2011	w	24.7		MPN/100ml	E. coli	Deep
Dale Miller	8/1/2011	w	1	<	MPN/100ml	E. coli	Deep
Dale Miller	8/16/2011	w	1	<	MPN/100ml	E. coli	Deep
Dale Miller	8/30/2011	w	1	<	MPN/100ml	E. coli	Deep
Dale Miller	5/23/2011	w	35.9		MPN/100ml	E. coli	Shallow
Dale Miller	6/6/2011	w	2		MPN/100ml	E. coli	Shallow
Dale Miller	6/20/2011	w	58.6		MPN/100ml	E. coli	Shallow
Dale Miller	7/5/2011	w	8.6		MPN/100ml	E. coli	Shallow
Dale Miller	7/18/2011	w	1		MPN/100ml	E. coli	Shallow
Dale Miller	8/1/2011	w	1	<	MPN/100ml	E. coli	Shallow
Dale Miller	8/16/2011	w	1	<	MPN/100ml	E. coli	Shallow
Dale Miller	8/30/2011	w	1	<	MPN/100ml	E. coli	Shallow
Rend Lake Marina	5/23/2011	w	93.3		MPN/100ml	E. coli	Deep
Rend Lake Marina	6/6/2011	w	5.2		MPN/100ml	E. coli	Deep
Rend Lake Marina	6/20/2011	w	29.2		MPN/100ml	E. coli	Deep
Rend Lake Marina	7/5/2011	w	6.3		MPN/100ml	E. coli	Deep
Rend Lake Marina	7/18/2011	w	1	<	MPN/100ml	E. coli	Deep
Rend Lake Marina	8/1/2011	w	1	<	MPN/100ml	E. coli	Deep
Rend Lake Marina	8/16/2011	w	2		MPN/100ml	E. coli	Deep
Rend Lake Marina	8/30/2011	w	1		MPN/100ml	E. coli	Deep
Rend Lake Marina	5/23/2011	w	139.6		MPN/100ml	E. coli	Shallow
Rend Lake Marina	6/6/2011	w	6.3		MPN/100ml	E. coli	Shallow
Rend Lake Marina	6/20/2011	w	25.9		MPN/100ml	E. coli	Shallow
Rend Lake Marina	7/5/2011	w	17.3		MPN/100ml	E. coli	Shallow
Rend Lake Marina	7/18/2011	w	1		MPN/100ml	E. coli	Shallow
Rend Lake Marina	8/1/2011	w	1		MPN/100ml	E. coli	Shallow
Rend Lake Marina	8/16/2011	w	1	<	MPN/100ml	E. coli	Shallow
Rend Lake Marina	8/30/2011	w	3.1		MPN/100ml	E. coli	Shallow

Site	Date Collected	Matrix	Result	Qualifier	Unit	Analyte Name	Location
South Sandusky	5/23/2011	w	1	<	MPN/100ml	E. coli	Deep
South Sandusky	6/6/2011	w	4.1		MPN/100ml	E. coli	Deep
South Sandusky	6/20/2011	w	31.5		MPN/100ml	E. coli	Deep
South Sandusky	7/5/2011	w	6.3		MPN/100ml	E. coli	Deep
South Sandusky	7/18/2011	w	23.5		MPN/100ml	E. coli	Deep
South Sandusky	8/1/2011	w	1		MPN/100ml	E. coli	Deep
South Sandusky	8/16/2011	w	8.5		MPN/100ml	E. coli	Deep
South Sandusky	8/30/2011	w	78.9		MPN/100ml	E. coli	Deep
South Sandusky	5/23/2011	w	1	<	MPN/100ml	E. coli	Shallow
South Sandusky	6/6/2011	w	4		MPN/100ml	E. coli	Shallow
South Sandusky	6/20/2011	w	39.3		MPN/100ml	E. coli	Shallow
South Sandusky	7/5/2011	w	9.7		MPN/100ml	E. coli	Shallow
South Sandusky	7/18/2011	w	106.3		MPN/100ml	E. coli	Shallow
South Sandusky	8/1/2011	w	1	<	MPN/100ml	E. coli	Shallow
South Sandusky	8/16/2011	w	8.6		MPN/100ml	E. coli	Shallow
South Sandusky	8/30/2011	w	7.5		MPN/100ml	E. coli	Shallow

Data provided by the project office which contracted with Illinois Department of Health for the analysis.
Illinois standard is 235 E. coli per 100ml for single sample and 126 for geometric mean.

South Sandusky Beach E. coli

